

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

October 7, 2025

The regular meeting of the Campbell County Board of Supervisors was held on the 7th day of October 2025 in the Board of Supervisors meeting room of the Walter J. Haberer Building, Rustburg, Virginia. The members present were:

Justin A. Carwile, Chairman, Presiding	Timberlake Election District
Kenneth R. Brown	Spring Hill Election District
Matt W. Cline	Concord Election District
Paul E. Dowdy	Sunburst Election District
Jon R. Hardie	Rustburg Election District
Tom K. Lawton	Altavista Election District
Charlie A. Watts, II	Brookneal Election District

Also present were:

Frank J. Rogers, County Administrator
Clifton M. Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator
Paul E. Harvey, Assistant County Administrator
F.E. “Tripp” Isenhour, III, County Attorney
Brooke S. Wright, Office Manager

Chairman Carwile called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m. Following the Pledge of Allegiance, Chairman Carwile offered a prayer.

// APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On motion of Supervisor Brown, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors dispenses with the reading and approves the minutes of the July 15, 2025, regular meeting and the August 19, 2025, regular meeting, as presented.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// APPEARANCE – BARCC

Katie Lane, president of BARCC, provided the Board with a history of BARCC and an update on fundraising efforts for a new animal shelter. In September 2017, she and Jeannie Isaacs initiated a conversation with Administrator Rogers regarding the best way to respectfully raise awareness about the need for a new animal shelter. In 2018, they began requesting that the Board set aside funds for a future shelter. In 2020, BARCC became a 501(c)3 and in 2024, they presented the Board with a conceptual design for a new shelter. BARCC was very grateful for the Board’s decision to set aside \$2.5 million for this project. Through extensive fundraising efforts, BARCC has raised over \$740,000 to date. Ms. Lane was also thankful for a recent matching gift of up to \$50,000 in \$1,000 increments in memory of Jeannie Isaacs.

Chairman Carwile commended Ms. Lane and all the BARCC volunteers for their phenomenal fundraising efforts. In response to his question about the project’s next steps, Administrator Rogers indicated that BARCC would continue raising money through the end of 2025. The County’s and BARCC’s funds would then be combined to set the course toward design and construction of a new shelter.

Supervisor Cline appreciated BARCC’s work and asked if there were any grant opportunities for additional funding. Ms. Lane had worked with Economic Development to determine if BARCC could apply for any grants, but because of the specific nature of the project, no grant opportunities had been identified to date. Administrator Rogers added that it appeared that grant funding was more likely to be awarded to the municipality than the non-profit organization, so the County could be eligible for grants as the project progresses.

Supervisor Hardie congratulated Ms. Lane on a recent successful fundraising event. He invited fellow Board members to donate \$150 each to BARCC, to take advantage of the generous \$1,000 match, and the Board was in agreement with his request.

Supervisor Lawton asked for clarification regarding the \$2.5 million that was set aside for the shelter. Administrator Rogers noted that in FY2021, the Board directed \$800,000 of the year-end balance to be set aside for future planning. At the Board's retreat in February 2024, a conversation about the scope of the project informed the Board that the cost of a new shelter would be significant. The Board decided to add \$1.7 million to the initial \$800,000, with the understanding that BARCC hoped to match the Board's \$2.5 million with funds raised in the community. In answer to Supervisor Lawton's question about meeting minimum State standards, Administrator Rogers advised that the cost of meeting those standards would not be fully known until design was underway. He assured the Board that any facility that the County built would meet State standards.

// APPEARANCE – CHERYL MOORE

Cheryl Moore lived in the vicinity of Town Fork Road in Campbell County and was concerned about excessive noise from events held at The Sparrow Estate. She was requesting the Board consider revoking the special use permit that was granted to Everett Sparrow in 2023. She provided the Board with pictures and videos showing how close her property was to the event venue and explained that the loud music was very disruptive to her and her neighbors, especially late at night. Ms. Moore believed that Mr. Sparrow was violating the conditions set forth by the Board when the special use permit was approved, specifically the number of people allowed at events and the noise level. She also noted her disappointment that law enforcement did not arrive on scene when citizens called to complain about a recent event; instead, they called Mr. Sparrow to ask for the measurement of his decibel meter.

Ms. Moore stated that Mr. Sparrow had been known to retaliate against residents who complained about his events by calling them vulgar names and tailgating them in his vehicle. She and her neighbors did not feel safe anymore, and their quality of life was being affected. Ms. Moore added that several neighbors were in attendance to support her concerns.

Chairman Carwile asked Ms. Moore to submit her supporting documents to Administrator Rogers. He suggested that since the property was in the Sunburst District, but very close to the Timberlake District, he and Supervisor Dowdy should review the situation to determine the best course of action. Supervisor Dowdy asked Ms. Moore to contact him the next time an event was held at The Sparrow Estate.

// CONSIDERATION OF REZONING REQUEST #PL-25-132 (RICHMOND HIGHWAY AND CABIN FIELD ROAD)

Administrator Rogers stated that rezoning request #PL-25-132 was considered during a public hearing at the Board's regular meeting on September 2, 2025. The public hearing was held and closed, with action on the matter being deferred until the October meeting.

Supervisor Cline thanked the citizens who had contacted him to express their views about the proposed rezoning. He also thanked the applicant, MESH Capital LLC, for maintaining professionalism and answering the many questions about the project. While Supervisor Cline had done considerable research on data centers, the issue at hand was whether to remove the protective proffers on the property. He noted that when Cokie Davidson got the property rezoned to Industrial Heavy about 25 years ago, he submitted restrictive proffers to protect his neighbors. Supervisor Cline felt that the community still needed to be protected. At his request, two citizens brought forth petitions with a total of 660 signatures opposing the rezoning request. He also recognized the considerable number of citizens in attendance who were opposed to the request.

On motion of Supervisor Cline, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors **DENIES** Request #PL-25-132 by Michael Essig, agent for MESH Capital LLC, to rezone the property located at Richmond Highway and Cabin Field Road and further identified as tax map parcel 17-A-1 from Industrial Heavy, Conditional to Industrial Heavy, Conditional to change the proffers to allow for a data center.

Supervisor Lawton recognized that the rezoning request was specific to proffer removal, but it was clear to him that the bigger issue was about data centers. He felt it was important to learn more about data centers, regardless of the pending vote, and wondered if the applicant would be willing to answer more questions. Chairman Carwile commented that the public hearing was closed and the applicant and citizens had the opportunity to speak at the September 2nd meeting. Therefore, in the interest of transparency, he would not allow for additional comments.

Supervisor Hardie thanked everyone who had reached out to him, and he understood that citizens wanted their properties to be protected. He felt that a special use permit should be required for data centers.

Chairman Carwile agreed that the community should be protected. If the property were to be developed in the future, it was likely that the current site plan would need to be removed. He asked the community to keep in mind that the property was zoned Industrial.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Watts
Nay: Dowdy, Lawton
Absent: None

// ADOPTION OF 2025 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

Time was scheduled on the agenda to discuss the development of the 2025 Legislative Agenda. Administrator Rogers indicated that, at the direction of the Board, this year's program included language regarding "kratom." Based on other feedback from supervisors, he was also seeking authorization to include language regarding local elected boards having the authority to set speed limits in residential areas and supporting the agricultural economy and individual liberties by codifying the legality of herd share operations.

Supervisor Dowdy wanted to add language to request that local elected boards be given authority to post signage indicating fines for speeding violations in residential neighborhoods. Administrator Rogers suggested keeping the language broad to first try to gain authority to set speed limits in residential areas.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors adopts the proposed legislative agenda, adding the proposed language regarding "kratom" and the consumption of raw milk by private clubs or individuals, and authorizes staff to forward the legislative agenda to the Virginia Association of Counties (VACo) and area legislators.

Supervisor Dowdy requested an amendment to the motion to include language requesting more local authority to set speed limits and address speeding concerns in residential areas.

Supervisor Watts agreed to the amendment, and the legislative agenda was adopted as follows:

2025 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

Campbell County believes that the best government is limited government and that government is best exercised when closest to the people it serves. Campbell County also recognizes that localities in Virginia are creations of the State. Together the State and the County share a responsibility to serve the citizens of the County in an equitable, efficient and accountable manner that makes resources available for necessary and desired services. The Campbell County Board of Supervisors respectfully asks the General Assembly's consideration with regard to the following general areas of interest:

KRATOM:

The Virginia General Assembly required in 2023 that the product known as "kratom", being the leaf of the plant *Mitragyna speciosa* or an extract thereof, to be labeled as unsafe for sale to minors. The Campbell County Board of Supervisors requests that the General Assembly either schedule kratom as a controlled substance or at least provide local governments with the authority to prohibit sales to minors or in or near school zones. Kratom is not and should not be considered safe for minors, and Virginia localities need effective tools to keep minors protected from kratom.

SPEED ENFORCEMENT:

Virginia State Code Section 46.2-878 and 46.2-1300 were amended in 2024 to authorize localities to lower speed limits to less than 25 mph, but not lower than 15 mph within specific road sections that met all codified requirements. The County respectfully requests even greater latitude in setting speed limits in residential areas be granted to afford local governing bodies greater flexibility in addressing constituent concerns regarding excessive speed.

HERD SHARE:

The Board of Supervisors encourages the legislature to codify the legality of herd share operations and to facilitate a regulatory environment that enables entrepreneurship; supports the agriculture economy and empowers individual choice.

LAW ENFORCEMENT:

The mental health hospitalization crisis is devastating Central Virginia families and having a detrimental impact on Sheriff's office staffing and morale. The Commissioner of the Virginia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services has alternately limited or completely closed admissions to the State Hospitals for individuals in crisis. Because of the decisions of the Commissioner, Campbell County deputies who have been ordered by a magistrate judge to transport individuals to those hospitals are left standing guard over an individual in need of mental health treatment in a waiting room for days at a time until beds can be found to treat the patient. The refusal of State hospitals to accept and treat patients on a timely basis is harming Campbell County residents and stretching Sheriff's office resources to the breaking point. The Campbell County Board of Supervisors supports our Sheriff and local law enforcement and endorses our Sheriff's request for:

- Legislation to fully fund the full number of deputies designated for Campbell County by the Virginia Compensation Board's Methodology.
- Legislation to fund additional deputies based upon the extraordinary amount of overtime imposed on the Sheriff's Office due to the State Hospitals' failure to accept patients ordered into those hospitals on a timely basis.
- Legislation to fund transport of individuals committed to the State Hospitals by organizations other than sworn law enforcement agencies.
- Legislation to relieve the burden on law enforcement in responding the mental health crises and to provide appropriate mechanisms for trained mental health providers to occupy the roles currently being performed by Sheriff's Office deputies.

TAXATION:

Taxation issues should be addressed by the governing bodies at the level that they are assessed: federal, state or local. The County:

- Supports legislation that maintains local taxing authority over local revenue sources;
- Opposes legislation that would reduce or eliminate control over local revenue streams;
- Supports equitable taxing authority between cities and counties—coupled with equitable standard of accountability to constituents (i.e. voter referendum requirements);

STATE PARTNERSHIP:

Campbell County supports efforts to partner with the State for the provision of services. The County:

- Supports full funding for mandated programs;
- Supports review of the means and methods of funding Constitutional Offices;
- Supports requiring political parties to pay the costs of local primaries;
- Supports the State administration and full funding of the Line of Duty Act (LODA);
- Supports full and continued funding of K-12 education requirements;
- Supports additional funding of K-12 facilities maintenance, capital improvements, and modernization of existing school buildings;
- Supports funding of continuing education for workforce development and training to meet a changing economy;
- Supports additional funding for transportation projects;
- Supports funding and support for full provision of broadband services to rural areas.
- Supports legislation allowing VDOT to name roads and highways after living people.
- Supports state review of erosion and sediment control regulations to insure adequate environmental protections with a sensitivity to costs of development.

- Opposes any efforts to reduce or inhibit the Second Amendment rights of citizens to keep and bear arms as described in the Constitution.
- Supports maintaining Virginia as a right-to-work state and opposes any requirement that employees join or pay dues to a union.
- Opposes any effort to undermine our federal immigration laws or create sanctuary cities to protect those violating our laws.
- Supports maintaining Virginia as a low-tax, business-friendly state, and opposes new taxes and increasing the tax burden upon our citizens.
- Supports the free market system and opposes forcing businesses to pay a substantially higher minimum wage, believing it will result in a reduction of job opportunities for our most needy and vulnerable citizens, and ultimately result in higher costs passed on to and paid by the citizens.
- Supports the sanctity of human life from conception and opposes any efforts to further relax current abortion restrictions or expand access to publicly-funded abortion.
- Supports strengthening of election laws to provide for secure and fair elections

LOCAL AUTHORITY:

The Campbell County Board of Supervisors represents constituent concerns of immediate interest to the community. Accordingly, the Board of Supervisors believes that authority vested at the local level can best address local concerns. The County:

- Supports legislation that would allow greater local discretion in implementing regulations;
- Supports legislation that would authorize counties to regulate the use of restrooms in County-owned buildings;
- Supports legislation that would allow homeschooled students to participate in sports and other extracurricular activities available in public schools subject to satisfaction of academic standards required by the State Board of Education;
- Supports legislation that provides local flexibility to legislate over issues of local concern.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts

Nay: None

Absent: None

// CARRYOVER REQUESTS FY2025 TO FY2026 & ENCUMBRANCES

Administrator Rogers presented a summary of the carryover requests and encumbrances for the Board's approval. This year's net carryover requests from the General Fund totaled \$980,588.08. Significant carryover requests included \$244,760.98 of Opioid Settlement funds which must be used on mitigation strategies; \$206,411.08 for 911 Radio System maintenance and future needs; and \$74,029.24 for Seneca Commerce Park Maintenance. The recommendation also proposed using balances in Public Safety lines to help fund needed improvements to the Gladys facility (Station 3).

In the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), carryover requests totaled \$6,260,018.96. Those requests included VATI broadband funds, funding for new financial software, park development, and EMS apparatus, and the balance of funding for the Seneca Commerce Park shell building.

Requested carryovers in the Solid Waste Fund totaled \$300,879.19. The School Construction Fund reflected encumbrances for the Brookville High School and Rustburg Middle School projects.

On motion of Supervisor Cline, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the carryover and encumbrance requests in the County Funds, along with encumbrances for the Schools, from FY 2025 to FY 2026 as outlined in the spreadsheet presented.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts

Nay: None

Absent: None

// PERMISSION TO ADVERTISE – CODE UPDATE CHAPTER 22

Tripp Isenhour, County Attorney, stated that the Board of Supervisors tasked him with investigating and proposing draft changes to the Code of Campbell County for a number of matters involving zoning. Because the solar changes in particular were requested ahead of the normal biannual update, all changes to Chapter 22 of the Code related to zoning were prepared to be presented as a package.

Changes to the definition section, as well as adding new sections §22-17.23 through §22-17.28, relate to modernizing the Code's treatment of solar applications. These changes provide significantly more nuance and detail than was present in the Code previously. Changes to the definition section, as well as to the Business zoning districts, work together to limit the siting of "Vape" shops at or near schools. Changes to the minimum lot area in residential zones amend the three-tiered structure currently in place, where three different lot sizes are allowed depending on the availability of either water or sewer, the availability of both, or the availability of neither. In place of the three-tiered structure would be a two-tiered structure, which requires a one-half acre (21,780 ft.²) lot minimum size unless both water and sewer are present, in which case a seventy-five hundred square feet (7,500 ft.²) lot minimum is available. Finally, one change was proposed based upon staff recommendations related to the bulk storage and sale of mulch, gravel, rock, sand, soil, and other similar landscaping materials. The use was allowed as a special use only in Agricultural districts, while general county practice has seen that use clustered in Business-General Commercial or Industrial districts, where such use was not mentioned.

Supervisor Watts asked the Board to consider removing the proposed changes to minimum lot sizes in §22-9(B)(1), §22-10(B)(1), and §22-11(B)(1). Supervisor Cline and Chairman Carwile agreed that this issue needed to be discussed further at a work session. Supervisor Hardie and Supervisor Dowdy expressed that they would like to see the proposed changes stay in the advertisement.

In answer to a question by Supervisor Hardie, Mr. Isenhour stated the solar energy facilities revenue sharing proposal in §22-17.28 was separate from solar siting agreements. Supervisor Hardie also wanted to include a Code update to require data centers to go through the special use permitting process. Mr. Isenhour noted that currently, data centers were allowed by-right in Industrial – Heavy zoning. Supervisor Hardie thought it was important for the Board to reflect upon each data center proposal in isolation. Supervisor Watts recalled the Board having previous discussions about how the limited areas zoned Industrial – Heavy in the county would be suitable for data centers.

On motion of Supervisor Lawton, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes the advertisement of the necessary public hearing required to take action on the list of proposed changes to the County Code, removing the proposed changes to minimum lot sizes in §22-9(B)(1), §22-10(B)(1), and §22-11(B)(1), at the November 6, 2025 regular business meeting.

Supervisor Hardie asked to amend the motion to include all of the proposed changes to minimum lot sizes, as well as language removing data centers from by-right use in Industrial – Heavy zoning and requiring proposed data centers to go through the special use permitting process. Supervisor Lawton did not accept the amendment, so Supervisor Hardie offered the following substitute motion:

On motion of Supervisor Hardie, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes the advertisement of the necessary public hearing required to take action on the list of proposed changes to the County Code, including language removing data centers from by-right use in Industrial – Heavy zoning and requiring proposed data centers to go through the special use permitting process, at the November 6, 2025 regular business meeting.

The vote was: Aye: Hardie
Nay: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Lawton, Watts
Absent: None

The substitute motion failed, and a vote was taken on Supervisor Lawton's original motion.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Lawton, Watts
Nay: Hardie
Absent: None

// ARMORED VEHICLE ACQUISITION

Administrator Rogers indicated that Sheriff Clark was requesting a transfer of \$75,000 from the County's Unassigned Fund Balance to purchase a used armored vehicle to be used in tactical situations to better ensure officer safety. The Sheriff introduced Lt. Brian Smith, SWAT Team Commander with the Lynchburg Police Department (LPD), who could answer questions about the vehicle.

Sheriff Clark stated that the City of Lynchburg obtained the vehicle through a grant in 2010. The grant stipulated that the vehicle could be used by the surrounding jurisdictions, if needed. He noted that it was a law enforcement vehicle, not military, and its purpose was to safely transport SWAT operators into critical incidents. It could also be used to rescue citizens and first responders. The vehicle was a 2011 model with 14,000 miles on it. A brand-new vehicle would cost approximately \$400,000. While LPD had been a great partner, Sheriff Clark felt it was important to purchase this vehicle to cut down on response time.

In March 2025, LPD obtained two new armored vehicles, and Sheriff Clark asked Chief Zuidema about purchasing their used vehicle. Chief Zuidema advised that the used cost of the vehicle was \$150,000, but he would sell it to Campbell County for \$75,000.

In answer to a question from Supervisor Dowdy, Lt. Smith stated that a regular driver's license was required to drive the armored vehicle.

Supervisor Hardie asked about the life expectancy of the vehicle and potential maintenance and repair costs. Lt. Smith indicated that maintenance and repairs on the armored vehicle would be comparable to those of a heavy-duty truck. Sheriff Clark added that he would have to identify qualified individuals with experience in working on heavy-duty vehicles to maintain the armored vehicle.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes a transfer in the amount of \$75,000 from the unassigned fund balance for the Sheriff's Office to purchase a used armored vehicle.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
 Nay: None
 Absent: None

// PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING AT CALOHAN ROAD & COLONIAL HIGHWAY

PL-25-141 Request by William Lane, agent for Hayden Investments LLC, to rezone the property located at Calohan Road and Colonial Highway and further identified as tax map parcel 33-7-2 from Agricultural to Residential – Single Family to allow for a 78-lot single family development. The property is located in an area designated as transitional per the current Comprehensive Plan. This case was continued from the September 2, 2025 Board of Supervisors meeting.

Paul Harvey, Assistant County Administrator, explained this was a continuation of the public hearing that was started at last month's meeting, regarding approximately 29 acres that fronted both Calohan Road and Colonial Highway. The request was to rezone the parcel from Agricultural to Residential – Single Family in order to construct a residential subdivision. Mr. Harvey added that the other parcels associated with the proposed subdivision were already zoned Residential – Single Family.

The area was mixed use in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Residential – Single Family; Agricultural; and Business – General Commercial. The property would be accessed by two (2) proposed entrances, one on Calohan Road and the other on Colonial Highway. The property would be served by public water and on-site septic. The Planning Commission recommended denial of the request by a vote of 5-1.

Bill Lane, Hurt & Proffitt (Engineering Consultant), and **Stephen Milacci**, of Hayden Investments, were representing the applicant. Mr. Lane indicated Hayden Investments, LLC was

a group of four (4) custom home builders who plan to use the lots as inventory. The request was to rezone 28.986 acres to Residential – Single Family. In the immediate area were several parcels zoned Residential – Single Family, and this rezoning would fit the existing zoning patterns along Route 24. This would not be a tract home neighborhood; they planned to build quality, affordable homes. Public water was already available. The project would not adversely affect the existing traffic movements at the Calohan Road and Route 24 intersection. Campbell County was in a period of economic growth and needed quality, affordable homes. He pointed out that the Board, in a recent action, voted to stop the landfill expansion, citing economic growth and potential housing costs. He believed this development aligned with those initiatives. Mr. Lane added that there was a need in the Rustburg area for homes. Currently, there were only four (4) homes on the market between \$350,000 and \$500,000, and only five (5) homes currently under construction.

Mr. Milacci stated that he had recently spoken with Chris Shirley, one of the neighbors who spoke against the proposal at the September meeting. Upon learning that a vegetative screening would be added along Calohan Road, Mr. Shirley's concerns were alleviated.

Mr. Lane noted The minimum lot size for Residential – Single Family with one public utility was 15,000 square feet. The conceptual layout provides for 26 lots over ½ acre and 33 lots over 20,000 square feet. Based on feedback from the Planning Commission meeting, all lots would be served by interior streets (no driveways on Calohan Road or Route 24). The lot sizes were increased by reducing the lot count. The traffic analysis was completed and would be reviewed and approved by VDOT. The analysis conducted at both of the new entrances determined that turn lanes were not warranted at either subdivision entrance. Vegetative screening would be coordinated with the adjacent homeowner and along Calohan Road and Route 24. Mr. Lane also reviewed several housing developments in the vicinity with similar lot sizes.

In response to a question from Supervisor Hardie, Mr. Milacci indicated he would be happy to add the vegetative buffer as a proffer, but understood he was not allowed to do that at this time. Mr. Isenhour clarified that the reason was that it was not submitted in writing before the public hearing. Supervisor Hardie noted that while the conceptual plan showed 78 lots, the plan was non-binding, so there was the potential for more. Mr. Milacci commented that from an engineering perspective, it was not possible to have many more lots than the proposed 78. Mr. Lane added that the development would only have as many lots as the drainfields would allow.

In response to a question from Supervisor Watts, Mr. Milacci stated that the preliminary soil evaluation was completed and the conceptual plan was based on that evaluation. He also clarified that each parcel would have its own drainfield, except for those that were already on a deeded easement. He clarified that those lots were already zoned Residential – Single Family.

Chandler Lawhorn, 869 Calohan Road, Rustburg, spoke in opposition to the rezoning request. She did not believe the proposal aligned with the definition of a transitional area per the Comprehensive Plan. If the Board decided to allow the parcel to be changed from farmland to a housing development, there would be lasting impacts to adjoining land, schools, and traffic.

Bill Calohan, 572 Calohan Road, Rustburg, spoke in opposition to the rezoning request. The farmhouse and land used to belong to his grandfather. He wanted to know why the developers did not submit proffers with the application. He would like to see lot sizes, stick-built homes, and minimum square footage proffered. The developers should be made to stick to a plan that the County controlled.

Administrator Rogers read the following email from Chris Shirley, 992 Carriage Parkway, Rustburg, into the record:

Good evening. My name is Chris Shirley, and I wanted to speak to the rezoning request at the intersection of Calohan Road and Colonial Highway. Unfortunately, I am unable to attend in person this evening as I was not able to arrange childcare, and I respectfully ask that my comments be read into the record. At the previous meeting, I spoke in opposition to this rezoning due to concerns about how the development might alter the natural landscape and scenic farmland view along the road. Since then, I've had the opportunity to speak directly with one of the developers, Stephen Milacci, and I appreciate his willingness to listen to my feedback and concerns. We spoke for a good amount of time and answered many of my questions, and was respectful. Mr. Milacci had agreed to include vegetative screening along the roadway. Similar to the many trees and

landscaping that could be seen at the entrances of the Poplar Springs and Carriage Grove developments. For that reason, I wanted to give my support for the rezoning request, and I thank the Board for considering my comments.

Hearing no further comment, the public hearing was closed at 8:03 p.m.

Supervisor Hardie stated that the applicants had every opportunity to propose proffers with the project, and they chose not to do so. He was also concerned about the long-term risk of drainfield failure on the proposed small lot sizes.

On motion of Supervisor Hardie, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendation of the Planning Commission and **DENIES** Request #PL-25-141 by William Lane, agent for Hayden Investments LLC, to rezone the property located at Calohan Road and Colonial Highway and further identified as tax map parcel 33-7-2 from Agricultural to Residential – Single Family to allow for a 78-lot single family development.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie

Nay: Carwile, Lawton, Watts

Absent: None

// PUBLIC HEARING – SPECIAL USE PERMIT AT 280 CLARKS ROAD

PL-25-172 Request by Frank Johnson, agent for Tonya Johnson, for a special use permit to operate an automobile repair shop on property zoned Agricultural. A special use permit for the automobile repair shop was originally granted in June 2024 and the applicant is seeking to change the condition that a 6-foot-high fence be installed along the front property line on both sides of the driveway. The property is located at 280 Clarks Road in an area designated as medium to high density residential per the current Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Harvey explained that the request was to amend the conditions of a special use permit to operate an automobile repair shop on property zoned Agricultural. Mr. Johnson was granted the special use permit for the automobile repair shop in June 2024. He was seeking to amend the condition that a 6-foot-high fence be installed on the front property line on both sides of the driveway. Mr. Harvey reminded the Board that there was citizen opposition to the original special use permit, and staff had received complaints from neighbors since Mr. Johnson obtained it. Recently, Karissa Shrader, Zoning Administrator, visited the property because of a complaint about Mr. Johnson operating the shop during the day on a Thursday, which did not conform to the conditions of the original special use permit. Mrs. Shrader had provided the Board with photographs of the fence, which did not extend to the front lot line of the property, and the number of vehicles on the property. She also had a 3:30 p.m. time-stamped photograph of the bay doors being open on the shop, which suggested that Mr. Johnson was operating the shop outside of the special use permit conditions.

The area was mostly residential in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Business – General Commercial; Industrial – General, Conditional; and Agricultural. The parcel was accessed by an existing entrance on Clarks Road. The parcel was served by private well and an on-site septic system. The Planning Commission recommended denial of the request by a vote of 6-0. Additionally, the Planning Commission recommended removal of the Special Use Permit by a vote of 4-2.

Frank Johnson, 280 Clarks Road, Rustburg, stated that he was seeking permission to be exempt from installing a privacy fence in front of his home. He misunderstood the condition when his special use permit was granted in June 2024. The fence had been installed on other areas of his property, as required. Mr. Johnson added that he and his family currently had seven (7) vehicles registered in their names on the property. Sometimes he did have a few vehicles on the property that were waiting on parts. Regarding the hours of operation, he understood the condition was 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. However, he remembered stating at the June 2024 meeting that on his days off from work, he would work in his shop. The day Mrs. Shrader took the pictures, he was at home. He added that sometimes, especially in hot weather, the bay doors were open even if he was not at home, because the shop did not have air conditioning.

Supervisor Watts recalled asking Mr. Johnson at the June 2024 meeting if he understood all of the conditions that would be imposed with the special use permit. Mr. Johnson stated he did not understand that the fence was supposed to be installed in front of his house. Supervisor Watts asked Mr. Johnson if he was willing to adhere to the conditions or if he wanted to proceed with his request. Mr. Johnson indicated he wanted to proceed with asking permission to be exempt from installing the fence in front of his house.

Chairman Carwile opened the public hearing at 8:19 p.m.

Preteasta Barksdale, 319 Two Bid Road, Evington, spoke in opposition to the request. First, she read the following letter from Jeannie Young, 204 Clarks Road, Rustburg, into the record:

Dear Members of the Board,

I am unable to attend the October 7, 2025, meeting in person because I do not drive after dark. However, I want my concerns entered into the public record. Over 15 months ago, Mr. Johnson was granted a special use permit with specific conditions. Despite numerous complaints from residents, those conditions have not been enforced. As a result, our neighborhood has deteriorated in appearance and now resembles a junkyard. It is the responsibility of the Campbell County Board of Supervisors to ensure that conditions attached to the special use permits are upheld. By failing to act, the Board has allowed these violations to continue unchecked, to the detriment of our community. I respectfully urge the Board to take immediate action to enforce the condition of the permit, and if Mr. Johnson refuses to do that, then it should be revoked. We, the residents, want to protect the character, safety, and livability of our neighborhood. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Ms. Barksdale stated that she was asking the Board to deny Mr. Johnson's request to modify the condition of his special use permit. She believed at least five (5) of the seven (7) conditions were not being met. She understood that the compliance deadline was December 2024, and as of today, the fence was not completely installed. Granting a modification to the conditions would reward non-compliance, undermine the authority of the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors, set a precedent that conditions can be ignored without consequences, and place an unfair burden on the neighboring residents.

Kim Payne, 403 Clarks Road, Rustburg, spoke in opposition to the request. First, she read the following letter from Robert Cabler, Jr., 240 Clarks Road, Rustburg, into the record:

Good evening members of the Board,

My name is Robert Cabler, Jr. Due to medical treatment that I am currently undergoing, I have been advised to limit my contact with others, and therefore, I am unable to attend the meeting in person this evening. I would like to bring to your attention an ongoing issue concerning Mr. Frank Johnson, who has numerous vehicles and other debris stored on my property. The land directly behind his garage is my property, and he is using it as his own personal junkyard. Over the past year, I have asked him several times to remove these items. The most recent request was made just three weeks ago. Each time, he has assured me that he would take care of it, but he has not followed through. At one point, I informed him that I had received a letter from Campbell County stating that I could face a fine if the debris was not removed. His response to me was, "They can't tell you what to do on your own property." Additionally, I have noticed mechanics coming and going from his garage during the day, conducting repair work. My understanding is that he was not authorized to operate his garage during daytime hours. I am frustrated and disappointed by the state of my property. What was once a clean and orderly space now looks like a junk and salvage yard. This situation began only after Mr. Johnson constructed his garage. It is clear that he is not operating in accordance with the representations he made to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors, nor is he abiding by the special conditions that were put in place. I was told if I have to install a fence to my property, I must first pay approximately \$1,200 for a survey. That is an unfair financial burden for me to bear when I am not the one who created this problem. And yet Mr. Johnson doesn't have to put up a fence to shield the neighbors from his junk? In closing, I respectfully ask the Board to take appropriate action to ensure that Mr. Johnson removes all vehicles, debris, and other materials from my property immediately and

install the fence he not only was required to do but agreed to without hesitation back in June 2024. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Ms. Payne stated she was here to underscore the principle of accountability. When the Board approved a special use permit, it was expected that the conditions would be met. In June 2024, the Board approved Mr. Johnson's special use permit with seven (7) conditions, including the installation of a fence by December 2024. Mr. Johnson agreed to the conditions, but the fence remains incomplete. If the Board failed to enforce the conditions, it would undermine the integrity of the zoning process, and public confidence in the Board.

Keith Payne, 403 Clarks Road, Rustburg, spoke in opposition to the request on behalf of the Clarks Road Alliance Group. He stated that Mr. Johnson's special use permit had created problems in the neighborhood. He recalled at the June 2024 meeting that Supervisor Watts and Supervisor Brown made sure Mr. Johnson understood the conditions being imposed upon him. Mr. Payne believed that Mr. Johnson was in violation of the conditions, including working outside of the agreed-upon business hours and not completing the privacy fence installation.

Jim Hike, 469 Clarks Road, Rustburg, spoke in opposition to the request. He was concerned that Mr. Johnson was contaminating the environment. Mr. Hike also stated that the business Mr. Johnson was conducting negatively affected the residents' desire for a rural way of life.

Mr. Johnson stated that even if he was not operating a business, his shop would still be on the property, and he would be working on his own vehicles. He would not intentionally allow any fluids to leak into the ground. Supervisor Cline noted his disappointment that Mr. Johnson had not met the conditions. Supervisor Brown added that he went against many residents' wishes when he voted in favor of Mr. Johnson's special use permit, and he was unhappy that Mr. Johnson had broken his promise to abide by the conditions.

Hearing no further comment, the public hearing was closed at 8:39 p.m.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendation of the Planning Commission and **REVOKES** the special use permit to operate an automobile repair shop on property zoned Agricultural, located at 280 Clarks Road and further identified as tax map parcel 32-A-98.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING AT 2771 DEARING FORD ROAD

PL-25-179 Request by Russell Nixon, agent for Karen Hayes, to rezone the property located at 2771 Dearing Ford Road and further identified as tax map parcel 69-A-87A from Residential – Multi Family to Business – General Commercial to allow for a motorcycle repair and customization shop. The property is located in an area designated as medium to high density mixed per the current Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Harvey explained that the request was to rezone approximately 0.7 acres to Business – General Commercial to operate a motorcycle repair and customization shop within an existing 1,271 square foot garage. The property was formerly used as an automotive repair shop, but that use has ceased for more than two (2) years. The request was not proffered, so the proposal was non-binding.

The area was mixed use in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Residential – Multi Family; Residential – Single Family; Agricultural; and Business – General Commercial. The parcel would be accessed by one existing entrance on Dearing Ford Road. The property was served by private well and on-site septic system. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the request by a vote of 6-0.

Supervisor Lawton had listened to the Planning Commission meeting, and he believed that the Planning Commission was recommending approval with the understanding that proffers would

be submitted before the Board meeting. Mr. Harvey stated that no proffers had been submitted. He added that the discussion about proffers did occur at the Planning Commission meeting, but he did not recall a clear commitment from the property owner. Supervisor Lawton remembered the applicant trying to add proffers at the Planning Commission meeting and Mr. Harvey advising that it could not be done. Mr. Harvey stated that was correct because proffers must be submitted in writing by the property owner, and in this case, the applicant was not the property owner.

Russ Nixon, Nixon Land Surveying, represented the property owner. He provided photographs of the property to the Board, showing what the property looked like when it was being used as an automotive repair shop and what it looked like currently. Karen Hayes, the property owner, had spent a lot of money repairing the roof of the building and constructing a fence around the property, in preparation for renting the building to someone for a motorcycle or automotive repair shop. She made these upgrades prior to knowing she had to get the property rezoned. Mr. Nixon stated that the paint booth that was on the property was inoperable, and no paint would be used on the property. He did not believe that proffers were necessary for this rezoning request. A buffer was already on the property, and other properties close by were already zoned Business – General Commercial. He read the following letter into the record from Samantha Rater, the new tenant of the property:

Good evening,

My name is Samantha Rater. I live at 27 Hall Road, Long Island, VA. I am here to respectfully request your support in approving the rezoning of the property located at 2771 Dearing Ford Road, Altavista, for our proposed motorcycle repair shop. This is more than just a business opportunity for our family; it is a way to serve and strengthen our community. Our goal is to create a safe, professional space for motorcycle repair and maintenance. As we mentioned in the planning meeting, the shop had always been a commercial building until it sat empty for more than 2 years and was automatically defaulted to residential multi-family. My husband, myself, and our kids would love to bring the shop back to life, and more business to the local community. The proposed rezoning of this parcel is necessary to allow for us to bring another small business to this area. We want our business to be a place that families and neighbors recognize not only for quality work, but also for giving back to the community. Outside of this property, we have secured another location that we are planning to host events in the future to collect non-perishable foods and clothes to go to the Piedmont Community Impact Organization in Gladys, VA. This shop located at 2771 Dearing Ford Road will also contribute economically by providing skilled services locally, keeping business in Campbell County, and drawing in riders from surrounding areas. We believe it will be a positive addition for both the local economy and the community. We understand there are concerns, and we are committed to addressing them responsibly. Our intent is to operate in a respectful manner, maintain a clean and professional environment, and be a good neighbor to all. I did speak with the Sexton's last night after a petition against the rezoning was brought to my attention to address their concerns. We will take those serious and are more than willing to be polite and considerate of their evening quiet time request. We sincerely hope you will see the value in what we are trying to build – not only a business, but a community resource that promotes safety, service, and family values. We appreciate your time, consideration, and support.

Mr. Nixon acknowledged concerns about noise, but argued that noise was already a factor because the property was close to Route 29. The tenants were not anticipating working late into the night or being loud after normal business hours.

Chairman Carwile opened the public hearing at 9:02 p.m.

Two people were present who were in opposition to the rezoning request, but they chose not to speak. Chairman Carwile asked those in favor of the rezoning request, but did not want to speak, to stand to show their support.

Kristy Mason, 632 Long Island Road, Gladys, noted that her residence was not directly impacted by this request. She lived near a private lot where the tenants had permission to practice motorcycle stunts. She routinely had to listen to the loud noises made by the bikes and had observed reckless and dangerous behavior. She did not believe that Dearing Ford Road was a safe road for motorcycles.

Nick Overstreet, 824 Pocket Road, Hurt, spoke in favor of the rezoning request, noting that the tenants were his close friends. This was meant to be a professional business that would provide motorcycle owners with a more economical option than taking their bikes to the Harley-Davidson shop for repairs.

Jillian Sexton, 2783 Dearing Ford Road, Altavista, spoke in opposition to the rezoning request, noting that she had lived next to the property in question for seven (7) years. This proposal threatened to disrupt the serenity that her family and the surrounding neighbors enjoyed. She had safety and noise concerns about motorcycles traveling frequently on the road. The proposed hours of operation were Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM. Ms. Sexton stated there was already activity on the property, and the worst of the noise was after 5:00 PM, well into the night. In conclusion, she played an audio clip for the Board to provide an example of the noise level after 5:00 PM.

Hearing no further comment, the public hearing was closed at 9:14 p.m.

Supervisor Lawton expressed concerns that the proposal was not consistent with the neighborhood.

On motion of Supervisor Lawton, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors **DENIES** Request #PL-25-179 by Russell Nixon, agent for Karen Hayes, to rezone the property located at 2771 Dearing Ford Road and further identified as tax map parcel 69-A-87A from Residential – Multi Family to Business – General Commercial to allow for a motorcycle repair and customization shop.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: Carwile
Absent: None

// **PUBLIC HEARING – QUITCLAIM OF COUNTY INTEREST IN TERMINAL STREET**

Mr. Isenhour stated that Campbell County received a request for the County to quitclaim any interest it may have in the roadway known as Terminal Street in the City of Lynchburg.

The owner of the adjacent property, James Higginbotham, II, had been working with the City of Lynchburg to obtain title to the property over which his driveway runs. The driveway was located on an unopened section of “Terminal Street”, which street was dedicated to Campbell County as “Candler Street” in the early 1930s. The portion of the roadway at issue was never paved or used as a roadway, and the surrounding property was eventually annexed into the City of Lynchburg in 1958. Campbell County had never claimed ownership over the property and had no reason to maintain any right or interest it may have in the roadway.

In order for the Board to consider quitclaiming any interest in public property, Section 15.2-1800 requires the Board to hold a public hearing to allow for citizen feedback on the issue.

Chairman Carwile opened the public hearing at 9:21 p.m.

No one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the request, and the public hearing was closed at 9:21 p.m.

On motion of Chairman Carwile, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes the issuance of a quitclaim deed for the roadway known as Terminal Street in the City of Lynchburg.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: Cline
Absent: None

// **HIGHWAY MATTERS**

Clifton Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator, indicated Robert Brown, VDOT Residency Administrator, was present to answer questions from Board members. Mr. Tweedy updated the Board on highway matters.

In a report on previous highway matters, Mr. Tweedy stated the following:

- Supervisor Dowdy had requested that VDOT look at what could be done to Charldon Road to make it safer, after hearing concerns from several residents. Mr. Brown indicated a study had been done in the past several years, and upon recent review, it was determined that conditions had not changed on that road. A previous request for an all-way stop was not recommended by VDOT traffic engineers. Staff contacted the Sheriff's Office to request speed enforcement in the area to address speeding.

From the Board:

- Supervisor Cline requested VDOT reinstall the sign at the intersection of Village Terrace with Village Highway that has been knocked down.
- Supervisor Dowdy asked about the availability of funding to install flashing speed limit signs along Charldon Road.
- Chairman Carwile requested an update on the possibility of flashing warning signs on Enterprise Drive in the vicinity of Bee Drive.

// CONSENT AGENDA

Administrator Rogers explained that there were several items on the Consent Agenda for the Board's consideration.

Supervisor Lawton noted that of the roughly 90 departments that comprise the annual budget, about 20 of those add up to around \$45 million. He was curious if administration would consider a staff-driven budget model for those 20 departments. Administrator Rogers reminded the Board that compensation played a key role in each department's budget and asked for clarification regarding what statistics would be valuable. Supervisor Lawton asked to use the county's population to determine the cost per citizen for each department.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the following under the Consent Agenda:

a) Appropriations –

1. General Fund, EMS Services, appropriating \$800 to Maint/Repair - Vehicles; increases Insurance Recoveries revenue by \$800; reimbursement for Creative Edge Design invoice relating to the repair of the 2022 Dodge Durango;
2. General Fund, Public Assistance Services, appropriating \$15,000 to IV-E Prev Services-Family First; increases Public Assistance/Admin – Federal revenue by \$7,500 and Public Assistance/Admin – State revenue by \$7,500; Title IV-E funds to provide services to help prevent children from entering foster care;
3. General Fund, Clerk of the Circuit Court, appropriating \$7,896 to LVA Circuit Ct Record Prsv Grnt (2); increases Circuit Court Record Prsv Grant (2) revenue by \$7,896; grant funds awarded by the Library of Virginia;
4. General Fund, Volunteer Fire Companies, appropriating \$10,955 to Maint/Repair – Communications Equip; increases VITA Educational Grant revenue by \$10,955; grant funds for purchase of multi-band radios;
5. General Fund, E-911 System, appropriating \$13,479.42 to E911 Phone Replacement and \$414 to Maint/Repair – Communications Equip; increases VITA Educational Grant revenue by \$13,893.42; grant funds for E911 phone replacement;
6. General Fund, Brookneal Fire Company, appropriating \$10,525.30 to Maint/Repair – Vehicles; increases Insurance Recoveries revenue by \$10,525.30; reimbursement for building repairs necessary after vehicular impact on 10/12/2024. This amount was withheld by the insurance company initially, but has been released now that repairs have been completed;

7. General Fund, Public Safety, appropriating \$150 to Food Supplies; increases Gifts & Donations – Public Safety revenue by \$150; donations received from Woodmen Life – Rustburg Chapter;
8. General Fund, Volunteer Fire Companies, appropriating \$3,641.40 to Maint/Repair – Communications Equip; General Fund, E-911 System, appropriating \$65 to Maint/Repair – Communications Equip and \$66,000 to Comp – Communications Officers; increases VITA Educational Grant revenue by \$69,706.40; grant funds for costs associated with E911 phone replacement;
9. General Fund, Magistrate, appropriating \$700 to Office Supplies; decreases Unassigned Beginning Fund Balance by \$700; the Campbell County Office of the Magistrate needs a new office chair to replace the current chair that is deteriorating. VA Code 19.2-48.1 requires localities to provide all furniture and other equipment necessary for the efficient operation of the office.

b) County Attorney Invoice –

Approves payment to the County Attorney in the amount of \$9,825 for services provided from August 21, 2025, through September 16, 2025.

c) FY27 Budget Timetable –

Adopts Budget Timetable for development of FY 2027 budget.

d) Resolution – Acceptance into Secondary System of State Highways: Liberty View Lane

Adopts the following resolution required by VDOT for inclusion of Liberty View Lane in the Secondary System of State Highways:

WHEREAS, Route 1406, Liberty View Lane has been completed; and

WHEREAS, Route 1406 meets the public service criteria of the Secondary Street Acceptance Requirements; and

WHEREAS, the development sketch and VDOT Form AM 4.3, attached and incorporated herein as part of this resolution, define additions required in the Secondary System of State Highways as a result of construction; and

WHEREAS, certain segments identified on the incorporated Form AM 4.3 are ready to be accepted into the Secondary System of State Highways.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Board requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to add the segments identified on the incorporated Form AM 4.3 to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to §33.2-705 of the *Code of Virginia*, for which segments this Board hereby guarantees the right of way to be clear and unrestricted, including any necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Virginia Department of Transportation.

e) Resolution – Centra One Air Medical Service

Adopts the following resolution to allow Centra Health and PHI Health to fulfill a state licensing requirement and continue providing essential, life-saving air medical services to the citizens of Campbell County and the surrounding region:

WHEREAS, Section 15.2-955 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, requires local governing approval of any emergency medical service organization operating within that locality; and

WHEREAS, Tracy Fairchild, Public Safety Director, has appeared before the Campbell County Board of Supervisors on behalf of PHI Air Medical, L.L.C. to request approval to modify their agency license to provide Critical Care Advanced Life Support Medevac services; and

WHEREAS, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors has determined it to be in the best interest of the citizens of Campbell County for PHI Air Medical, L.L.C. to provide these services;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors grants approval to PHI Air Medical, L.L.C. to modify their agency license per approval of the Office of Emergency Medical Services of Va.

f) Resolution – Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) Revision

Adopts the County’s revised EOP by way of the following resolution, and authorizes staff to make necessary updates to maintain compliance and accuracy:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Campbell County, Virginia, recognizes the need to prepare for, respond to, and recover from natural and man-made disasters, and

WHEREAS, the County of Campbell has a responsibility to provide for the safety and well-being of its citizens and visitors and

WHEREAS, the County of Campbell has established and appointed a Director and Coordinator of Emergency Services

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY PROCLAIMED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Campbell, Virginia, that this Emergency Operations Plan, as revised in 2025, is officially adopted, and

IT IS FURTHER PROCLAIMED AND ORDERED that the Director of Emergency Services, or his designees, are tasked and authorized to maintain and revise as necessary this document over the next four-year period or until such time it be ordered to come before this Board.

g) Shentel Franchise Modification

Adopts the following resolution modifying the Shentel cable television franchise and authorizes staff to execute the franchise sharing agreement:

WHEREAS, Shenandoah Cable Television, LLC (“Shentel”) owns, operates and maintains a cable television system (“System”) serving Campbell County, Virginia pursuant to a franchise agreement issued by Campbell County, Virginia (the “Franchise Authority”) to Shentel (the “Franchise”) which expires December 31, 2030; and

WHEREAS, the Franchise Authority and Shentel agree it would be beneficial to add a notification requirement for the sharing of franchise rights to a Parent or Affiliate of Shentel; and

WHEREAS, the Franchise Authority believes that it is in the best interest of the community to approve the modification.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FRANCHISE AUTHORITY AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Franchise Authority agrees that the Franchise shall be modified as follows:

Shentel shall give notice of any sharing of rights under this Franchise to a Parent or Affiliate of Shentel to the Franchising Authority. The notice shall be in a form substantially similar to Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein.

A. The attached Exhibit A is attached to the Franchise as Exhibit A. Notice of Sharing of Franchise Rights.

SECTION 2. This Resolution shall have the force of a continuing agreement with Shentel, and Franchising Authority shall not revoke, amend or otherwise alter this Resolution without the consent of Shentel.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// APPOINTMENTS

Several appointments were made at this meeting.

Economic Development Commission

On motion of Chairman Carwile, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors appoints the following to the Economic Development Commission:

Lawrence Narehood	At-large (Agriculture)	Term expires December 31, 2028
George Rosser	At-large (IDA)	Term expires December 31, 2026
Jeff Wells	At-large (CCUSA)	Term expires December 31, 2026

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

Supervisor Hardie thanked BARCC for their fundraising efforts for a new animal shelter.

Supervisor Lawton inquired how Riverstreet was progressing with a commitment to work with VDOT to connect to Huddleston Cabinets 1 & 2 to expand broadband.

Supervisor Dowdy thanked Administrator Rogers and the Sheriff's Office for coordinating additional security at meetings.

// CLOSED MEETING

On motion of Supervisor Lawton, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors enters into a closed meeting at 9:40 p.m. to discuss matters related to personnel, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(1) of the Code of Virginia, as amended; and to discuss legal matters related to a public nuisance, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// The Campbell County Board of Supervisors entered into a closed meeting on this 7th day of October, 2025 at 9:40 p.m. to discuss matters related to personnel, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(1) of the Code of Virginia, as amended; and to discuss legal matters related to a public nuisance, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

// On motion of Supervisor Lawton, it was resolved the meeting return to open session at 10:06 p.m.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// On motion of Supervisor Lawton, the following resolution was adopted:

CERTIFICATE OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on the 7th day of October, 2025 pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, §2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Campbell County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member’s knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors.

The roll call vote was: Aye: Brown
 Aye: Carwile
 Aye: Cline
 Aye: Dowdy
 Aye: Hardie
 Aye: Lawton
 Aye: Watts
 Nay: None
Absent During Meeting: None
Absent During Vote: None

// ACTION FOLLOWING CLOSED MEETING

On motion of Supervisor Brown, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes legal proceedings to abate public nuisances on properties located at 2167 Waterlick Road, specifically tax map number 21D-1-24.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
 Nay: None
 Absent: None

// ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Supervisor Brown, the meeting was adjourned at 10:07 p.m.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Dowdy, Hardie, Lawton, Watts
 Nay: None
 Absent: None

JUSTIN A. CARWILE, CHAIRMAN

Approved: _____