

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

March 19, 2007

The regular meeting of the Campbell County Board of Supervisors was held on the 19th day of March 2007 in the Board of Supervisors meeting room of the Walter J. Haberer Building, Rustburg, Virginia. The members present were:

J. D. Puckett, Chairman, presiding	Brookneal Election District
Rick Boyer	Sunburst Election District
Charles W. Falwell	Timberlake Election District
Eddie Gunter, Jr.	Concord Election District
Hugh T. Pendleton, Jr.	Rustburg Election District
Hugh W. Rosser	Seneca Election District

The member absent was:

Calvin P. Carter	Altavista Election District
------------------	-----------------------------

Also present were:

R. David Laurrell, County Administrator
Clifton M. Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator
David W. Shreve, County Attorney
Kristin Wright, Staff Attorney

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m., and Supervisor Rosser gave the invocation. Supervisor Rosser extended sympathy to one of our employees, Dale Woods, whose nine-year-old son, Cody, died in a tragic car accident on Saturday, March 17th.

// APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On motion of Supervisor Rosser, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors dispenses with the reading and approves the minutes of the February 5, 2007 regular meeting, February 20, 2007 regular meeting and the March 5, 2007 regular meeting as presented.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// INTRODUCTIONS

Karen Danos, Registrar, introduced the newest member of the Campbell County Electoral Board, Meghan L. Crowther.

Shameka Wright, Human Resources Generalist, introduced Todd Moore in the Public Works Department.

// VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MATTERS

Don Austin, Residency Administrator, was present to discuss highway matters with the Board.

Request for Watch for Children Signs

VDOT had received a request from Karen Warman of 316 Hunters Mill Lane, Evington, Virginia, for reduced speed zones and Watch for Children signs within Hunters Mill Subdivision. A traffic engineering review determined that Routes 1164, 1165 and 1166 would qualify for a 25-mph speed zone, and a crew would install the speed limit signs. The request for Watch for Children signs would have to come through the Board of Supervisors. Hunters Mill Subdivision is in the Altavista Election District.

On motion of Supervisor Falwell, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors adopts the following resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the 1997 session of the General Assembly amended the Code of Virginia with the addition of Section 33.1-210.2 which allows the installation of “Watch for Children” signs; and

WHEREAS, the residents of Hunters Mill Subdivision in Campbell County, Virginia, have expressed concerns regarding speeding within the Hunters Mill Subdivision and the safety of small children; and

WHEREAS, a review of this area indicates that it meets the criteria set forth by the Virginia Department of Transportation, for the installation of “Watch for Children” signs.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Commissioner of the Virginia Department of Transportation to use funds from the Secondary Construction Budget to install “Watch for Children” signs at the appropriation locations within Hunters Mill Subdivision, Campbell County, Virginia.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

FY 2008 – 2013 Six Year Improvement Program

Because the General Assembly has not yet made the final decisions on transportation funding, VDOT released preliminary six-year program amounts based on December 2006 figures. Mr. Austin provided an updated figure that included telecommunications fees. The Six Year Plan would be based on this figure, subject to change when the transportation budget has been approved. Regardless of the budgeted amounts, the priorities would remain the same.

Requirement for Traffic Impact Analysis

VDOT was charged with developing a method to become more involved with counties and cities to guide development and the impact on the road system. While the guidelines have not been finalized, the basis of the change would be to require localities to provide a traffic impact analysis based upon the proposed traffic count of the development. The process would be phased in beginning July, 2007 in Nova, Richmond and Salem Districts. Implementation would begin in January, 2008 in the second area, and the Lynchburg District would begin implementation in July, 2008. It was hopeful the process would provide more information to the Boards and Councils to make better zoning decisions taking into consideration the impact the development would have on the road system.

From the Board

Supervisor Gunter thanked Mr. Austin for the excellent response to a letter he received from Ashley Austin, a student at Longwood College, addressing her concerns about the speed on Route 646 (Doss Road).

Supervisor Pendleton reported a stop sign at the intersection of Acorn Drive and Waverly Drive has disappeared.

Chairman Puckett also reported a missing stop sign at the intersection of Wydner Road and Sugar Hill Road.

// LYNCHBURG AREA CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (LACIL)

Lindsey Edwards, Development Coordinator for LACIL, provided information on the direct services they provide to disabled individuals. LACIL is a private non-profit non-

residential organization that promotes the efforts of persons with disabilities to live independently in the community. It also promotes community efforts to develop an environment that is open and accessible to all citizens. LACIL serves citizens in the cities of Bedford and Lynchburg and the counties of Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford and Campbell. During FY 2006 they served 126 citizens in Campbell County. Cities and counties reap the benefits of improved economics when citizens were able to maintain independence and continue gainful employment.

// LANDFILL REMEDIATION SYSTEM

Clifton M. Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator, requested approval of a change order to the contract with SCS Field Services to install five new wells to the groundwater extraction system to remediate the contamination under a portion of Twin Oaks Mobile Home Park. The system was working well and over twelve (12) million gallons have been treated. Based on the data collected while the system was being installed and from subsequent testing, it has been determined some additional wells would need to be installed to speed up the remediation and insure containment of a portion of the plume moving toward the northeast.

The request was for approval of a change order for an additional \$272,945 and a ten (10) percent contingency. The funds were budgeted in the FY 2008 Capital Improvement Plan. The original purchase order was for \$1.1 million. The work should be completed within the next couple of months.

On motion of Supervisor Pendleton, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves a change order to the contract with SCS Field Services to install five new wells to the groundwater extraction system to remediate contamination under a portion of Twin Oaks Mobile Home Park in the amount of \$272,945; approves a ten (10) percent contingency to allow the Director of Public Works to make changes, if necessary, based on field conditions; and authorizes the County Administrator to execute all contracts and related documents.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// PERMISSION TO ADVERTISE – BIOSOLIDS ORDINANCE

The Board at the regular February 5, 2007 meeting directed staff to develop an ordinance to consider for permission to advertise. Staff presented a draft ordinance that was developed using a proposed ordinance provided by the Citizens Against Toxic Sludge (C.A.T.S.) as well as the Virginia Association of County's model ordinance.

The draft ordinance differs from the originally proposed ordinance from C.A.T.S. in that it is reformatted to more closely parallel the County's Code; reorganized to provide more detail on specific issues; and expanded to include additional clarifying language from the Clean Water Act and from the Virginia Association of County's model ordinance.

The ordinance was structured in three parts. First, the ordinance incorporated the basic testing and monitoring language authorized by state law for localities to adopt; second, the ordinance incorporated additional testing and monitoring requirements above what was authorized by state law based on provisions in the Federal Clean Water Act that provide localities the ability to adopt regulations more stringent than those found in the Clean Water Act; and third, the ordinance contained provisions regulating corporate activity in the County as it relates to bio-solids application based on the position by C.A.T.S. that the existing case law on corporate rights was not constitutionally sound.

During the development of the ordinance it was clear the County has the authority under Virginia law to adopt testing and monitoring ordinances. The concept of whether or not the County has the authority under the Clean Water Act to adopt regulations more stringent than those set out in Federal law is arguable as to whether or not state law and the application of Dillon's Rule preempts the Federal regulations or whether the Federal regulations preempt state law.

Of equal importance is whether or not there is sufficient evidence to suggest that the current State regulations provide sufficient oversight of the land application of bio-solids to ensure the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Campbell County. If it is determined by the Board of Supervisors that the existing State regulations were not sufficient and the Board has sufficient evidence to conclude the provisions of the Clean Water Act provide the authority for adoption of more stringent regulations following appropriate public testimony and comment then adoption of the components related to more stringent testing and monitoring are ones the Board could consider. If it is determined by the Board of Supervisors that the existing State regulations are sufficient and/or the Board does not have sufficient evidence to conclude the provisions of the Clean Water Act provide the authority for adoption of more stringent regulations following appropriate public testimony and comment then adoption of the components related to more stringent testing and monitoring are not ones the Board could consider.

The third area of the draft ordinance relates to corporate rights. Based on constitutional grounds C.A.T.S supports inclusion of these provisions in the final ordinance. The concept of corporations not being persons in the eyes of the County has been addressed on a number of occasions in the courts and it is clearly established law that corporations have rights. What is at issue in this case from the perspective of the C.A.T.S. representatives is whether or not that law is based on sound constitutional grounds. The citizens group believes it deserves inclusion in the ordinance, and in fact is the foundation of the ordinance, and if challenged they contend that the basis for success is in arguing the constitutionality of the issue, not necessarily the case law itself.

As directed by the Board staff continues to work on this issue from four points of view:

- 1) Working with area legislators to affect meaningful changes in state regulatory authority to move the oversight of bio-solids to DEQ;
- 2) Working with area legislators and State officials to affect implementation of consistent and meaningful statewide testing and monitoring programs through the regulatory process;
- 3) Working with area legislators to affect legislation providing local authority on land use and location issues regarding land application of bio-solids;
- 4) Development of a draft ordinance locally that provides for the most effective oversight of bio-solids land application.

As well as the mechanical issues of considering an ordinance for adoption there has been considerable conversation surrounding what legal activity could ensue if the Board adopts the ordinance as recommended by C.A.T.S. Any version more closely conforming to State Code would result in the least legal scrutiny. A version that incorporates more stringent regulatory controls under the auspices of the Federal Clean Water Act would likely receive additional scrutiny. And, any version that includes issues such as corporate rights would likely receive the most legal scrutiny.

Due to the expected large turnout of interested individuals at a public hearing, staff suggests if the Board authorizes this to proceed to public hearing, that a meeting be held at a separate location at a time not normally used to conduct regular County business with the Board. Rustburg High School is being suggested as the location. April 17th at 7 PM is the preferred date and time.

The format would limit the total public hearing time to three hours maximum on that evening with fifteen minutes available to an individual representing proponents of the advertised ordinance followed by individual three minute presentations and then likewise the same format for opponents of the ordinance. If either the sign up list of proponents or opponents did not take up the entire 90 minutes allotted for each side, then the remaining time would be offered to the other. All speakers would be required to sign up prior to the beginning of the public hearing. Once it was known how many speakers signed up, the overall time would be allotted. If the number of speakers signing up to comment exceeded the three hour limit, the Board would continue the public hearing to a future date for completion.

The Board first considered the date and time for a public hearing.

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes staff to schedule a public hearing on the bio-solids ordinance for Tuesday, April 17, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. in the auditorium at Rustburg High School.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

The Board next discussed the specifics of the ordinance and how it should be advertised. Supervisor Gunter believed three different ordinances should be advertised with all three public hearings held in one evening. One ordinance would include the basic testing and monitoring language authorized by state law for localities to adopt. The second ordinance would incorporate additional testing and monitoring requirements above what was authorized by state law based on provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act. The third ordinance would contain provisions regulating corporate activity in the County as it relates to bio-solids application based on the position by C.A.T.S. that the existing case law on corporate rights was not constitutionally sound.

County Attorney Shreve agreed three separate public hearings would be appropriate as the three parts of the ordinance were so different. The three public hearings could be held in one evening. Administrator Laurrell clarified the Board would like three separate ordinances to be advertised: (1) one that would include all three components: corporate language, Clean Water Act language, and basic testing and monitoring language; (2) a second one to include the Clean Water Act language and basic testing and monitoring language; and (3) a third one to include just the basic testing and monitoring language.

Supervisor Rosser would like to be able to review the proposed ordinances before they were advertised. Administrator Laurrell indicated the Board could review the proposed ordinances at its regular April 2nd meeting prior to advertisement.

Supervisor Falwell agreed that all three ordinances should be advertised in order to allow everyone to express their views. Personally he was still concerned about the safety of bio-solids, but he would keep an open mind and welcome the comments of the public on all the issues before making a decision.

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes staff to bring back three versions of the bio-solids as discussed to the April 2, 2007 meeting for review and permission to advertise.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

Lastly, the Board discussed the format of the public hearings on April 17th. Supervisor Gunter believed the first ordinance that included the recommendations from the C.A.T.S. organization would generate the most comments and should have the most time allowed. He was agreeable to Administrator Laurrell's suggestion that two hours be allotted for the first ordinance, and thirty (30) minutes each for the second two ordinances. Supervisor Rosser believed the Chairman could conduct the public hearings on the night of April 17th, and no further discussion was needed at this meeting. Supervisor Falwell believed the second ordinance may generate more discussion. Chairman Puckett wanted to make sure everyone had the opportunity to speak. He encouraged citizens to not repeat something that has already been said. Supervisor Pendleton added that he believed the Board could extend the public hearing if necessary so that everyone would be given an opportunity to speak. Supervisor Falwell agreed the Board should have that flexibility.

Chairman Puckett called for a short recess at 1:47 p.m.

// ADOPTION OF FY 2008 – FY 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The meeting was resumed at 1:55 p.m.

The Board was presented a draft CIP at the regular March 5, 2007 meeting. Supervisor Pendleton offered the following motion to adopt the CIP:

On motion of Supervisor Pendleton, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors adopts the FY 2008 – FY 2012 Capital Improvement Plan as presented to be used in the development of the FY 2008 operating budget.

Before the vote was taken, Supervisor Rosser stressed upon the Board that this would be the last time the Board could reconsider some of the projects, such as the Master Plan of the Courthouse. He disagreed with the Plan as proposed and believed a new building to house the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court would solve the space problems. The other two Judges have indicated they have sufficient space. Taking this project out of the FY 2008 budget would save \$400,000 and probably a tax increase. He agreed the Courthouse needed some repairs, but it was not necessary to remodel the entire building. He could not support the CIP.

Supervisor Boyer still had reservations about spending millions of dollars building County parks over the next 20 years. He would rather that money be spent on the Courthouse than parks. He did not believe parks was a crucial core function of government and should be left to the private sector. Based on this, he too could not support the CIP.

Supervisor Falwell realized everyone had their own priorities. Citizens that had children that used the parks would have a different opinion. As far as the Courthouse project, he was not convinced a separate building would save money or be the best option.

As far as the parks, Chairman Puckett believed that children that were active and involved in sports were not utilizing the court system. Supervisor Gunter agreed with that comment adding there was an increasing push in the health care industry to involve senior citizens in recreational activities. He believed there would be more of a demand in the future for recreational facilities from the senior citizens. In fact, citizens in the Concord area have already expressed to him their desire for walking trails as well as picnic areas for families in the parks.

Supervisor Gunter also commented on two projects in the proposed CIP that were meaningful to the Concord community. One was the addition to and renovation of the Concord Elementary School. This project was moved up one year and by doing so would save on interest costs as well as alleviate the crowding at the school. To support the renovations to the school, a waterline would be built. The second project that was important to him was the purchase of an aerial platform firefighting apparatus. Bill Bigham, Fire Commissioner, established a committee that has worked many hours studying the need for an aerial platform and the best equipment to serve the County. Supervisor Gunter believed this was a priority for the County for public safety.

Supervisor Pendleton commented the monies set aside for park development was insignificant compared to other projects. The funding was spread out over many years. As far as the Courthouse project, the costs were continuing to rise and would cost even more in the future.

The vote was: Aye: Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett
Nay: Boyer, Rosser
Absent: Carter

// AERIAL PLATFORM APPARATUS

Now that the Board has approved the CIP, Supervisor Gunter offered the following motion:

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors authorizes staff to work with the Fire Commission to move forward on the acquisition of the aerial platform apparatus subject to final appropriation by the Board.

The purchase of this equipment would take some time. Chairman Puckett recognized Bill Bigham, Fire Commissioner, who was present at the meeting.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser

Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// CENTRAL VA COMMUNITY SERVICES – PERMISSION TO PURCHASE PROPERTY

Central Virginia Community Services (CVCS) would like to purchase the Forest Hills group home facility located at 3018 Forest Hills Circle, Lynchburg, Virginia which they have leased for the past seventeen years. The purchase price is \$500,000, and they would assume the current VHDA loan of approximately \$340,000. According to State Code, the governing bodies of each of the participating jurisdictions must authorize the purchase and assuming the new debt. A resolution was presented for approval.

Supervisor Boyer who represents the Board of the CVCS Board indicated CVCS would save a great deal of money by purchasing the property. He offered the following motion:

On motion of Supervisor Boyer, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors adopts the following resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Central Virginia Community Services Board is a governmental entity created pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 5 of Title 37.2 of the Code of Virginia, pursuant to a joint agreement between the Counties of Amherst, Appomattox, Bedford and Campbell, and the Cities of Bedford and Lynchburg, in order to provide those jurisdictions with mental health, mental retardation, and substance abuse services; and

WHEREAS, Section 37.2-504 (11) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, allows Community Services Boards such as Central Virginia Community Services Board to obtain loans as authorized by the governing bodies of the political subdivision that established it; and

WHEREAS, Central Virginia Community Services Board desires to purchase from Lynchburg Covenant Fellowship, Inc. property known locally as 3018 Forest Hills Circle, Lynchburg, Virginia, pursuant to a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Contract dated January 31, 2007; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to said Real Estate Purchase Contract, Central Virginia Community Services Board desires to assume at closing Lynchburg Covenant Fellowship, Inc.'s existing deed of trust loan with VHDA, which loan had an original principal indebtedness of \$469,850.00, and which obligation, as later modified, bears interest payable at the rate of 7.1% per annum and is payable in regular monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of \$3,318.46, with the last payment due on or about November 1, 2019, and which deed of trust loan will have an estimated principal balance of approximately \$340,000.00 at closing (the "Deed of Trust Obligation").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Central Virginia Community Services Board is hereby authorized by Campbell County to assume the Deed of Trust Obligation and to obligate itself to pay the remaining indebtedness owed VHDA on the terms set forth in said Deed of Trust Obligation.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// CONSENT AGENDA

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the following appropriations under the Consent Agenda:

1. General Fund, Commonwealth's Attorney, appropriating \$900 to EDP Equipment; and raises estimated revenue, Shared Expenses Commonwealth's Attorney, by \$351, and lowers Fund Balance, Commonwealth's Attorney's Forfeited Asset Fund

- Balance, by \$549, to purchase a scanner/printer from reimbursed funds from the Compensation Board and the Forfeited Assets Fund;
2. Sheriff's Forfeited Assets Fund, Expenditures, appropriating \$5,000 to Police Equipment/Supplies, and lowers Fund Balance, Sheriff Forfeited Assets, by \$5,000, to purchase covert recording equipment and cameras for undercover purchases of narcotics; and
 3. School Operating Fund, Title II-A Improving Teacher Quality, appropriating \$10,135.90 to Stipends, \$1,711.52 to Employer Cost VRS, \$2,163.79 to Employer Cost Group Life, \$39,036.57 to Purchased Services, and \$12,739.15 to Travel; and deleting \$29,101 from Comp Elem Teachers, \$19,417.51 from Employer Cost FICA, \$7,856 from Employer Cost Health Ins, \$49,624 from In-Service Cost, Elem, \$29,200 from Other Contracted Services and \$303.51 from Materials and Supplies; and lowers estimated revenue, by \$69,715.09, de-appropriation required to match federal program allocation.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// APPOINTMENTS

Building Code Board of Appeals/Board of Fire Prevention Code Appeals

H. T. Burleigh is 78 years old and asked to be removed from these two boards as he is hard of hearing. His son, Phillip Burleigh of Burleigh Construction Company, agreed to accept the appointment.

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors appoints Phillip Burleigh, Burleigh Construction Company, P. O. Box 289, Concord, Virginia 24538, to fulfill an unexpired term until May 5, 2009 on the Building Code Board of Appeals and the Board of Fire Prevention Code Appeals.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

Another member of these two boards, Charles Parker, passed away last week. Staff would be searching for someone to replace him that is a licensed professional engineer.

// MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

Region 10 VACo Courthouse Meeting

Supervisor Pendleton reminded the Board of the Region 10 Virginia Association of Counties Courthouse Meeting on Thursday, March 29th, from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Pittsylvania County General District Court. If more than two members were planning to attend, the Board would need to adjourn to that meeting.

2007 General Reassessment

There has been some confusion because of several dates listed on the reassessment notices. The newspaper is going to run an article that would clarify when citizens may sign up to be heard by the Board of Assessors concerning their assessment. May 7, 2007 is the date for the budget public hearing. There was some concern expressed by the Board on the rise in land values.

// CLOSED MEETING

On motion of Supervisor Gunter, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors enters into a closed meeting at 2:23 p.m. to discuss the acquisition or disposal of real property for public purposes related to access to the Campbell County Landfill, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(3)

of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended; and to discuss the results of the recent salary study, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(1) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// The Campbell County Board of Supervisors entered into a closed meeting on this 19th day of March, 2007 at 2:23 p.m. to discuss the acquisition or disposal of real property for public purposes related to access to the Campbell County Landfill, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(3) of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended; and to discuss the results of the recent salary study, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(1) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

// On motion of Supervisor Pendleton, it was resolved the meeting return to open session at 2:46 p.m.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

// On motion of Supervisor Pendleton, the following resolution was adopted:

CERTIFICATE OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on the 19th day of March, 2007 pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, §2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Campbell County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors.

The roll call vote was: Aye: Boyer
Aye: Falwell
Aye: Gunter
Aye: Pendleton
Aye: Puckett
Aye: Rosser
Nay: None
Absent During Meeting: Carter
Absent During Vote: Carter

// ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Supervisor Pendleton, the meeting was adjourned at 2:47 p.m. until 3:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 29, 2007, for a Region 10 Virginia Association of Counties Meeting to be held at the Pittsylvania County General District Courtroom, Chatham, Virginia.

The vote was: Aye: Boyer, Falwell, Gunter, Pendleton, Puckett, Rosser
Nay: None
Absent: Carter

J. D. PUCKETT, CHAIRMAN

Approved: _____