

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

January 3, 2023

The regular meeting of the Campbell County Board of Supervisors was held on the 3rd day of January 2023 in the Board of Supervisors meeting room of the Walter J. Haberer Building, Rustburg, Virginia. The members present were:

Matt W. Cline, Chairman, Presiding	Concord Election District
Kenneth R. Brown	Spring Hill Election District
Justin A. Carwile	Timberlake Election District
Jon R. Hardie	Rustburg Election District
A. Dale Moore	Altavista Election District
Steve W. Shockley	Sunburst Election District
Charlie A. Watts, II	Brookneal Election District

Also present were:

Frank J. Rogers, County Administrator
Clifton M. Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator
F.E. "Tripp" Isenhour, III, County Attorney
Brooke S. Wright, Administrative Assistant

Administrator Rogers called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and welcomed everyone to the organizational meeting of the Board of Supervisors. Following the Pledge of Allegiance, a moment of silence was observed.

// ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR

Nominations were called for 2023 Chairman. Supervisor Shockley nominated Supervisor Matt W. Cline of the Concord Election District for 2023 Chairman.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors closes the nominations for Chairman and affirms the election of Supervisor Matt W. Cline as 2023 Chairman.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

Supervisor Cline assumed the seat of Chair and opened the floor for nominations for Vice-Chairman. Supervisor Carwile nominated Supervisor Kenneth R. Brown of the Spring Hill Election District for 2023 Vice-Chairman.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors closes the nominations for Vice-Chairman and affirms the election of Supervisor Kenneth R. Brown as 2023 Vice-Chairman.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// TIME AND PLACE OF MEETINGS

On motion of Supervisor Hardie, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors establishes the regular meeting schedule for 2023 as follows:

The first and third Tuesday of each month beginning at 6:00 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room, Walter J. Haberer Building, Rustburg, Virginia. The second meeting of the month is reserved for special meetings as needed.

If the Chairman acts, finds and declares that weather or other conditions are such that it is hazardous for members to attend the regular meeting, the meeting shall be continued to the

following Thursday of that week at 6:00 p.m. and all hearings and other matters previously advertised shall be conducted at the continued meeting and no further advertisement is required per authority of Section 15.2-1416 of the Code of Virginia.

The Board confirms the policy adopted on June 12, 2018 that establishes a written policy for participation in Board meetings through electronic communication as set forth in Virginia Code §2.2-3708.1.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On motion of Supervisor Carwile, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors dispenses with the reading and approves the minutes of the October 18, 2022 work session, the November 1, 2022 regular meeting, and the November 15, 2022 work session as presented.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// BUDGET PUBLIC FORUM

Citizens were invited to share recommendations on planning priorities for the upcoming Fiscal Year 2024 Budget.

Kyle Rosser, 3513 Lambs Church Road, Altavista, indicated his dissatisfaction with the 2023 Reassessment. He saw a 40% increase in his property value from the 2019 Reassessment, adding that he had talked with people whose property values had increased anywhere between 3% and 77%. He did not believe the reassessment reflected the true property values in Campbell County. He asked the Board to consider the impact of the increased property values when determining the tax rate.

Lewis Robertson, 46 One Mile Road, Evington, indicated he spoke to the assessors responsible for the 2023 Reassessment, and was told properties were assessed based on replacement value. Mr. Robertson believed properties were to be assessed based on fair market value. He added that his properties increased between 62% and 69% without any improvements, other than routine maintenance. He asked the Board to consider the impact of the reassessment when setting the tax rate.

Nina Thomas, Campbell County Historical Society (CCHS), thanked the Board for its continued support. Ten years ago, the CCHS raised over \$100,000 to replace the HVAC in the historic courthouse. A museum was opened in May 2015, and at that time, the exterior of the building was in disrepair. According to the lease agreement with the County, the exterior of the building was the responsibility of the County. The County provided the funds and the CCHS oversaw the contracting for the necessary repairs. Mrs. Thomas thanked Tom Gerdy, Gerdy Construction, and Clif Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator, for their efforts in taking care of the repairs. She also thanked the members of the Fray Family Charitable Trust for financially supporting the installation of an elevator in the historic courthouse. Mrs. Thomas asked the Board to continue to provide funding to the CCHS in order to maintain and improve the historic courthouse.

Grant Rost, 321 Myrtle Lane, Altavista, believed the purpose of reassessments were to determine the market value of properties. Mr. Rost purchased a home in Campbell County in May 2022, and two months later the house was assessed for \$60,000 more than what he had paid. He appealed his reassessment, allowing the assessors to review the current appraisal of the home. Mr. Rost was displeased to have to debate the actual market value of his home against an illogical computer program that does not make sense in the current housing market. He believed the Board members' assessments should look similar to other citizens', and the Board should know the current Reassessment was inaccurate.

Sandy Glass, 455 Runner Stone Drive, Evington, expressed her dissatisfaction with the 2023 Reassessment. She saw a 40% increase in her property value from the 2019 Reassessment, and the current reassessment was \$125,000 more than the certified appraisal done on her home in July 2022. She appealed her reassessment and was somewhat pleased with the result. Mrs. Glass believed there was a problem with the assessment process, and asked that the County consider extending the hearing and appeal process to allow citizens enough time to voice their concerns.

Jim Bowman, indicated his support of the Board's proposed legislative agenda. He requested that the Board consider adding more specific language to the section regarding the strengthening of election laws. He believed it would be advantageous to support requirements such as presenting photo identification to vote, paper voting ballots, and one-day voting. Mr. Bowman also asked the Board to strongly oppose future mask mandates, vaccine mandates, and lockdowns.

Doug Barringer, 277 Holland Court, Rustburg, thought it was unfortunate that reassessment were done at the peak of an artificially inflated real estate market. Regarding the proposed legislative agenda, he asked the Board to support school choice and election reform.

Eric Szandzik, 484 Lazy Creeks Drive, Rustburg, appreciated staff's hard work during the budget season and thanked the Board for proactively offering information about the reassessment process. Mr. Szandzik commented on the unfortunate timing of the reassessment, and asked the Board to consider lowering the current real estate tax rate to mitigate the impact of the reassessment.

Carlisle West, 316 Abners Road, Evington, indicated his 2023 Reassessment increased 47% from the 2019 Reassessment. He asked the Board to consider adjusting the tax rate to lessen the taxpayers' burden.

Marjo Hennessey, 316 Abners Road, Evington, understood the purpose of the reassessment, but believed it was unfortunate that assessments had increased greatly across the county.

Chairman Cline asked Administrator Rogers to give a brief overview of the reassessment process. Administrator Rogers indicated the assessors visited properties and evaluated them based on condition, trying to assess the fair market price. The period of time for office appeals had concluded, but anyone who wanted to appeal their reassessment could make an appointment with the Board of Equalization (BOE). The burden would be on the property owner to disprove the assessed value to the BOE. Following the BOE appeals, the Board of Supervisors would look at the revenue needs of the County and set a tax rate that would accommodate community needs while being respectful of the taxpayers.

A lengthy discussion ensued among Supervisors and staff regarding the validity of the reassessment and the legal ramifications of conducting a new reassessment. Administrator Rogers indicated that while some property owners had legitimate concerns about their reassessments, by and large the assessors' data was valid. Tripp Isenhour, County Attorney, added that Campbell County was required to conduct a General Reassessment every four (4) years. He did not believe a judge would find it reasonable to disregard the current reassessment and start over.

// PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING AT 22290 & 22326 TIMBERLAKE ROAD

PL-22-197 Request by Charles Beard, agent for Carrington Properties LLC, to rezone property located at 22290 & 22326 Timberlake Road from Business – General Commercial and Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Industrial – General to allow for warehousing and manufacturing; and from Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Residential – Single Family for use as a single-family subdivision. The property is located in an area designated as medium to high density commercial and medium to high density residential per the current Comprehensive Plan.

Paul Harvey, Community Development Director, explained this was a request to rezone 22.938 +/- acres from Business – General Commercial and Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Industrial – General to allow for warehousing and manufacturing; and to rezone 13.49 acres from Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Residential – Single Family for use as a single-family subdivision. The portion of the property to be rezoned Industrial – General included two industrial warehouse buildings. The buildings were currently used as a distribution and storage warehouse, offices, wholesale business, and cabinet and woodworking shop. The applicant would like to see the existing uses continue in addition to allowing potential future tenants the ability to use the space for warehousing and manufacturing. The portion of the property to be rezoned Residential – Single Family was previously rezoned from Residential – Single Family to Business – General Commercial, Conditional on December 1, 2015. The applicant was seeking to rezone most of the area back to Residential – Single Family for use as a single-family subdivision. The subdivision would be developed by an extension of Callaway Court in combination with parcel 20D-4-D, which was already zoned Residential – Single Family. The request was not proffered, so the preliminary plan and proposal were conceptual and non-binding.

The area was commercial and residential in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Residential – Single Family; Residential – Multi Family; Residential – Multi Family, Conditional; Business – Limited Commercial; Business – General Commercial; Business – General Commercial, Conditional; and Business – Heavy Commercial. The portion of the property to be rezoned Industrial – General was accessed by an entrance onto Timberlake Road (Route 460 Business). The portion of the property to be rezoned Residential – Single Family would be accessed by an extension of Callaway Court (Route 1508). The request would not significantly impact traffic and a traffic impact analysis was not required. The property was currently served by public water and private on-site septic systems. The single-family subdivision would be served by public water and private on-site septic systems. There was a public sewer easement shown on the concept plan as requested by CCUSA to provide public sewer to the area in the future. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the request by a vote of 7-0 citing good zoning practice.

Leslie McVey, represented Charles Beard of Clovelly, LLC. She indicated that the property was purchased in December 2022 and the property owner wanted to rezone 22.938 acres to Industrial – General in order for the existing uses to continue and to allow for future industrial growth. The property owner also wanted to rezone 13.49 acres to Residential – Single Family for use as a single-family subdivision.

John Duncan would be the developer of the property to be rezoned Residential – Single Family. He stated that Mr. Harvey had explained the project thoroughly, adding that the owner was very interested in providing public sewer to the proposed subdivision.

Norm Walton, Perkins and Orrison, indicated that the number of homes in the proposed single-family subdivision would increase if public sewer was made available to the property, but he could not give an exact number at this time. Supervisor Shockley believed that approximately 15 homes were currently allowed by-right, and the use of private on-site septic systems would increase the number to about 30 homes. Mr. Walton added that the use of public sewer would increase the number of homes beyond 30. In answer to Supervisor Watts regarding the decision to use public sewer, Mr. Walton stated it would depend on CCUSA's installation timeline as to whether the property owner would choose to wait for public sewer or move forward with private septic systems.

Chairman Cline opened the public hearing at 7:09 p.m.

Steve Rowland, 770 Farfields Drive, Lynchburg, spoke in favor of the proposed rezoning. He indicated he has lived in the Farfields subdivision for 21 years and was glad to see the proposal of single-family homes instead of multi-family housing. Mr. Rowland understood that more houses meant more traffic, but if drivers obeyed the speed limit in the neighborhood there would not be any problems.

Bill Cash, 595 Farfields Drive, Lynchburg, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning. He thanked the Board for listening to the community's concerns. Adjacent to the property in question was an additional 134 acres slated for future development by the same company. Mr.

Cash added that proper zoning called for the use of common sense when considering the citizens already living in the area. He and his neighbors felt that a traffic study was warranted for the area, given the plans for future single-family and multi-family housing growth. Mr. Cash was unhappy about the potential loss of green space and wildlife habitats, and he asked the Board to consider the necessary precautions to keep the streams and water clean.

Brandy Hanks, 55 Callaway Court, Lynchburg, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning. She understood growth was inevitable, but asked the Board to consider how the proposed residential development would affect the people who currently lived in the neighborhood.

Gary Hanks, 55 Callaway Court, Lynchburg, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning. He was concerned about how traffic would be impacted by the residential development. He suggested that the Board limit the development to 15 homes, and asked for a traffic study to determine if an entrance from the new development onto Timberlake Road was possible.

Dan Lumpkin, 75 Preston Place, Lynchburg, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning. He indicated the proposed development would be located directly behind his property. Mr. Lumpkin was concerned about how traffic would be impacted by this development, as well as other developments in the vicinity that were under various stages of construction. He also expressed concerns about the environmental impacts these developments would have on the wetlands and streams in the area. He understood why the developers wanted a public sewer easement, but he did not believe the easement would be in the best interest of his neighborhood.

Sandy Glass, 455 Runner Stone Drive, Evington, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning. She empathized with the neighbors in the Farfields community because she had recently faced a similar issue in her neighborhood.

Mr. Walton stated the proposal was not for a high density development. There would be no apartments or townhomes, only single-family detached houses. Mr. Duncan was developing a similar neighborhood in Charlottesville behind his property, and understood the direct impact the proposed development would have on the Farfields community. Mr. Walton added that this proposal did not require a traffic study. He assured the Board that the developers would abide by all stormwater management and erosion control regulations. In answer to a question from Supervisor Watts regarding the average price of the homes in the proposed development, Mr. Duncan expected the homes to sell for at least \$300,000.

Tim Wagner, CCUSA Utilities Engineer, indicated Mr. Duncan was asked to reserve a 30-foot easement for a gravity sewer. Mr. Wagner expected to hear from the Department of Environmental Quality by the beginning of March as to whether any ARPA funding would be designated to install a pump station in the Martin Drive area of Timberlake. If the funding was approved, the proposed CCUSA pump station could provide service to a much larger area.

Hearing no further comment, the public hearing was closed at 8:03 p.m.

Supervisor Shockley indicated he heard many of the concerns about the development at the Planning Commission meeting several months prior. He stated approval of the rezoning request may benefit the neighborhood because, as Mr. Duncan stated, the new homes would be similar in value to the current homes. He believed the applicant was sincere and he trusted that the single-family subdivision would be beneficial to the area.

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendation of the Campbell County Planning Commission and citing good zoning practice, **APPROVES** Request #PL-22-197 by Charles Beard, agent for Carrington Properties LLC, to rezone property located at 22290 and 22326 Timberlake Road from Business – General Commercial and Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Industrial – General to allow for warehousing and manufacturing and from Business – General Commercial, Conditional to Residential – Single Family for use as a single-family subdivision.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None

Absent: None

// PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING ON SUNNYMEADE ROAD

PL-22-198 Request by Zachary Moss, agent for Wesley D. Campbell and Gregory S. Campbell, to rezone property located on Sunnymeade Road and further identified as tax map parcel 23D-2-1 from Agricultural to Residential – Multi Family in order to construct a four-unit multifamily dwelling. The property is located in an area designated as medium to high density residential per the current Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Harvey explained this was a request to rezone 1.188 +/- acres from Agricultural to Residential – Multi Family to allow for the construction of a four-unit multifamily dwelling. The units would be four contiguous townhomes of approximately 1,000 square feet each for long-term rentals. Each townhome would have two bedrooms and two bathrooms. Two parking spaces would be provided for each unit. The request was not proffered, so the preliminary plan and proposal were conceptual and non-binding.

The area was mostly residential in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Residential – Single Family; Residential – Multi Family; Residential – Manufactured Housing; Industrial – Heavy; and Agricultural. The property would be accessed by an entrance onto Sunnymeade Road (Route 670). The request would not significantly impact traffic and a traffic impact analysis was not required. The property would be served by a private well and on-site septic system. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the request by a vote of 7-0 citing good zoning practice.

Zach Moss, 1186 Walnut Hollow Road, Lynchburg, represented the property owners. He indicated the intention was to build a 64' x 32' structure consisting of four townhomes for long-term rentals. Mr. Moss added that the terrain would prevent the structure from being visible to the rear and frontal adjacent properties. The lot was adequately sized to allow for eight parking spaces, and the road had adequate visibility for vehicles entering and exiting the property. Supervisor Watts indicated that a multifamily structure with more than six bedrooms would call for a commercial drain field, which had different requirements than a residential drain field. Mr. Moss appreciated the advice and would look into the matter.

Chairman Cline opened the public hearing at 8:11 p.m.

No one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the proposed rezoning and the public hearing was closed at 8:13 p.m.

On motion of Chairman Cline, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendation of the Campbell County Planning Commission and citing good zoning practice, **APPROVES** Request #PL-22-198 by Zachary Moss, agent for Wesley D. Campbell and Gregory S. Campbell, to rezone property located on Sunnymeade Road and further identified as tax map parcel 23D-2-1 from Agricultural to Residential – Multi Family in order to construct a four-unit multifamily dwelling.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// PUBLIC HEARING – SPECIAL USE PERMIT AT 3200 SUNNYMEADE ROAD

PL-22-205 Request by Russ Nixon, agent for Linda and Gordon Kapoula Thompson, for a special use permit to operate a wedding and special events venue on property zoned Agricultural. The property is located at 3200 Sunnymeade Road in an area designated as medium to high density residential per the current Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Harvey explained the owner would like to operate a wedding and special events venue on the property. The owner planned to provide two indoor venue areas for weddings and events for up to 100 people. The owner also sought to provide outdoor space for weddings and

events with more than 100 people. There would be an expansion to an existing barn and construction of a new barn and parking lot on the property.

The area was mostly residential in nature. Zoning in the vicinity was Residential – Single Family; Residential – Multi Family; Residential – Manufactured Housing; Industrial – Heavy; and Agricultural. The property was accessed by an existing entrance onto Sunnymeade Road (Route 670). The request should not have an impact on daily traffic counts unless an event was taking place on the property, and no traffic study was required. The property was served by private water and private septic systems. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the request with the condition that the applicant utilizes the site in conformance with the use described in the narrative submitted with the request by a vote of 7-0 citing good zoning practice.

Russ Nixon, 1063 Airport Road, Lynchburg, represented the property owners. Mr. and Mrs. Thompson were from Hawaii and purchased this property last year. They believed the location was prime for weddings and special events.

Chairman Cline opened the public hearing at 8:18 p.m.

No one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the proposed special use permit and the public hearing was closed at 8:18 p.m.

On motion of Chairman Cline, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendation of the Campbell County Planning Commission and citing good zoning practice, **APPROVES** Request #PL-22-205 by Russ Nixon, agent for Linda and Gordon Kapoula Thompson, for a special use permit to operate a wedding and special events venue on property zoned Agricultural, located at 3200 Sunnymeade Road, with the condition that the applicant utilizes the site in conformance with the use described in the narrative submitted with the request.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// FY 2022 AUDITED FINANCIAL REPORT

Matt McLearn of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates presented the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2022. He indicated they encountered no difficulties in working with staff and all records were made available to them. There were no disagreements with management in applying accounting principles and no significant audit findings for fiscal year 2022.

Mr. McLearn indicated the General Fund year-end balance on June 30, 2022 was approximately \$50.7 million. The Unassigned General Fund Balance was approximately \$31.5 million. In conclusion, the County's audit was deemed a clean opinion on all financial statements with no deficiencies or material weaknesses found. The County also received a certificate of excellence in financial reporting.

// PROPOSED 2023 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

The proposed Legislative Agenda was presented to the Board at its December 2022 regular meeting, and the Board requested additional time for deliberation. Administrator Rogers indicated language was added to support the strengthening of election laws to provide for secure and fair elections.

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors adopts the following 2023 Legislative Agenda:

2023 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

Campbell County believes that the best government is limited government and that government is best exercised when closest to the people it serves. Campbell County also recognizes that localities in Virginia are creations of the State. Together the State and the County share a

responsibility to serve the citizens of the County in an equitable, efficient and accountable manner that makes resources available for necessary and desired services. The Campbell County Board of Supervisors respectfully asks the General Assembly's consideration with regard to the following general areas of interest:

LAW ENFORCEMENT:

The mental health hospitalization crisis is devastating Central Virginia families and having a detrimental impact on Sheriff's office staffing and morale. The Commissioner of the Virginia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services has alternately limited or completely closed admissions to the State Hospitals for individuals in crisis. Because of the decisions of the Commissioner, Campbell County deputies who have been ordered by a magistrate judge to transport individuals to those hospitals are left standing guard over an individual in need of mental health treatment in a waiting room for days at a time until beds can be found to treat the patient. The refusal of State hospitals to accept and treat patients on a timely basis is harming Campbell County residents and stretching Sheriff's office resources to the breaking point. The Campbell County Board of Supervisors supports our Sheriff and local law enforcement and endorses our Sheriff's request for:

- Legislation to fully fund the full number of deputies designated for Campbell County by the Virginia Compensation Board's Methodology.
- Legislation to fund additional deputies based upon the extraordinary amount of overtime imposed on the Sheriff's Office due to the State Hospitals' failure to accept patients ordered into those hospitals on a timely basis.
- Legislation to fund transport of individuals committed to the State Hospitals by organizations other than sworn law enforcement agencies.
- Legislation to relieve the burden on law enforcement in responding the mental health crises and to provide appropriate mechanisms for trained mental health providers to occupy the roles currently being performed by Sheriff's Office deputies.

TAXATION:

Taxation issues should be addressed by the governing bodies at the level that they are assessed: federal, state or local. The County:

- Supports legislation that maintains local taxing authority over local revenue sources;
- Opposes legislation that would reduce or eliminate control over local revenue streams;
- Supports equitable taxing authority between cities and counties—coupled with equitable standard of accountability to constituents (i.e. voter referendum requirements);

STATE PARTNERSHIP:

Campbell County supports efforts to partner with the State for the provision of services. The County:

- Supports full funding for mandated programs;
- Supports review of the means and methods of funding Constitutional Offices;
- Supports requiring political parties to pay the costs of local primaries;
- Supports the State administration and full funding of the Line of Duty Act (LODA);
- Supports full and continued funding of K-12 education requirements;
- Supports additional funding of K-12 facilities maintenance, capital improvements, and modernization of existing school buildings;
- Supports funding of continuing education for workforce development and training to meet a changing economy;
- Supports additional funding for transportation projects;
- Supports funding and support for full provision of broadband services to rural areas.
- Supports legislation allowing VDOT to name roads and highways after living people.
- Supports state review of erosion and sediment control regulations to insure adequate environmental protections with a sensitivity to costs of development.
- Opposes any efforts to reduce or inhibit the Second Amendment rights of citizens to keep and bear arms as described in the Constitution.
- Supports maintaining Virginia as a right-to-work state and opposes any requirement that employees join or pay dues to a union.

- Opposes any effort to undermine our federal immigration laws or create sanctuary cities to protect those violating our laws.
- Supports maintaining Virginia as a low-tax, business-friendly state, and opposes new taxes and increasing the tax burden upon our citizens.
- Supports the free market system and opposes forcing businesses to pay a substantially higher minimum wage, believing it will result in a reduction of job opportunities for our most needy and vulnerable citizens, and ultimately result in higher costs passed on to and paid by the citizens.
- Supports the sanctity of human life from conception and opposes any efforts to further relax current abortion restrictions or expand access to publicly-funded abortion.
- Supports strengthening of election laws to provide for secure and fair elections

LOCAL AUTHORITY:

The Campbell County Board of Supervisors represents constituent concerns of immediate interest to the community. Accordingly, the Board of Supervisors believes that authority vested at the local level can best address local concerns. The County:

- Supports legislation that would allow greater local discretion in implementing regulations;
- Supports legislation that would authorize counties to regulate the use of restrooms in County-owned buildings;
- Supports legislation that would allow homeschooled students to participate in sports and other extracurricular activities available in public schools subject to satisfaction of academic standards required by the State Board of Education;
- Supports legislation that provides local flexibility to legislate over issues of local concern.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
 Nay: None
 Absent: None

// AID-TO-CONSTRUCTION/YELLOW BRANCH SEWER – EXEMPTION REQUEST

Administrator Rogers stated that in Fiscal Year 2006, the County partnered with Campbell County Utilities and Service Authority (CCUSA) and the School Division to extend sewer service down the Route 29 corridor from Spring Hill to Yellow Branch. The School Division provided \$600,000 from the Yellow Branch construction budget and the County contributed an additional \$1,250,000 to fully fund the sewer extension. This County contribution was to be recovered in aid-to-construction payments over the next 10 to 15 years.

To date, the County had received \$79,468.90 in aid-to-construction payments to reimburse the initial \$1,250,000 expense. In addition, CCUSA recently received \$42,000.00 in aid-to-construction payments from the developers of The Allure, a multi-family residential development on English Tavern Road. These aid-to-construction fees would be transferred to Campbell County at the end of fiscal year 2023.

Development of The Allure would require sewer service. Initial plans for installation of a gravity sewer under Route 29 by bore and jack from the western side of US 29 failed due to the presence of a rock seam under the northbound lanes of US 29. Because the bore and jack from the western side of US 29 failed, the developers of The Allure approached Hyland Heights Baptist Church to request an easement over church property, so that the second attempt at getting sewer under US 29 could be made by horizontal directional drilling from the eastern side of US 29. To do this, the drilling subcontractor needed a long strip of land to string out the welded steel casing, thus the developers approached Hyland Heights Baptist Church for a temporary construction easement. By granting the easement, the church would enable the drilling subcontractor a second attempt at the US 29 sewer crossing. The drilling subcontractor stated that they should be able to get the sewer through the rock seam with the horizontal directional drilling method.

In exchange for granting the easement, the development interest would extend a service line to the Hyland Heights Youth Center. This facility was presently served by septic tank and drain field. That septic system must be frequently pumped at a cost to the church. In addition,

there was observable settling of the parking lot of the facility. This suggested the drain fields may be impaired. A connection to sewer would better meet the needs of the facility.

The developer had agreed to install the sewer lateral and pay the necessary connection fee to CCUSA for the church. The church had also indicated a willingness to pay to run a connection from the sewer line installed by the developer to their facility's infrastructure. However, the aid-to-construction fees would still be required. Those fees, based upon the acreage of the parcel were \$14,600.

The church had requested that the County consider a one-time exemption from the aid-to-construction fee. Such an exemption would enable the church to connect to desirable infrastructure at the most advantageous time and price.

Supervisor Watts appreciated the developer's willingness to extend a service line to the Youth Center.

Supervisor Hardie had visited with church staff to discuss their request. He noted the church's prominent role in service to the community, and he was grateful that the church and developer were working together on this project. He noted his support of the one-time exemption from the aid-to-construction fee. Supervisor Hardie added that the topic of necessary fees and appropriate exemptions from fees was worthy of discussion at a future Board meeting.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the exemption from the aid-to-construction fee for Hyland Heights Baptist Church in the amount of \$14,600.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// AIRPORT COMMERCE PARK GO VIRGINIA GRANT AWARD

Nina Rezai, Director of Economic Development, indicated she was seeking the Board's formal approval of a \$52,000 allocation of funds to the City of Lynchburg for the Airport Commerce Park Due Diligence study. The City of Lynchburg Office of Economic Development and Tourism, the Lynchburg Regional Airport, and the Campbell County Economic Development Department submitted an application to the GO Virginia Region 2 Council to complete a due diligence study at the Airport Commerce Park. The property represented 260 acres owned by Campbell County and the City of Lynchburg that is entirely located in Campbell County. The multi-jurisdiction ownership and proximity to the Lynchburg Regional Airport presented a compelling proposal to the GO Virginia reviewing council as they prioritized strategic geographic locations and regional partnership. In December 2022, the State Council of GO Virginia approved the grant request for the Airport Commerce Park.

A due diligence study for the Airport Commerce Park was estimated to cost \$334,000. In partnership with the City of Lynchburg on this endeavor, Campbell County committed \$52,000 to support the project. As the main applicant on the project, the City of Lynchburg would administer the project and funds received. Campbell County would provide the City of Lynchburg with the match funds. Completing this study would elevate the Airport Commerce Park from a Tier 2 to a Tier 3 property in the Virginia Business Ready Sites program. The site represented a strategic location that could be accessed by air, road, and rail. Upon completion of the due diligence study, further discussion would address master planning of the site and development between Campbell County and the City of Lynchburg.

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the allocation of funds in the amount of \$52,000 from the Assigned for Economic Development balance to the City of Lynchburg, for the Campbell County share of the match funding for the Airport Commerce Park Due Diligence study.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// HIGHWAY MATTERS

Clif Tweedy, Deputy County Administrator, indicated Robert Brown, VDOT Residency Administrator, was present to answer questions from Board members. Mr. Tweedy updated the Board on highway matters.

In a report on previous highway matters, Mr. Tweedy stated the following:

- Supervisor Shockley had asked when the improvements to the Waterlick Road/Timberlake Road intersection would be completed and what improvements were being made. VDOT indicated the work was scheduled to be completed on May 12, 2023, and the construction would improve the volume of traffic the intersection could handle.
- Supervisor Cline had requested monitoring of the Route 460/24 intersection for accidents since the opening of the new Sheetz store.
- Supervisor Hardie had asked if changes could be made in the vicinity of the crosswalk in front of the Historic Courthouse due to the recent fatal accident. Mr. Brown stated that VDOT and the Virginia State Police were still analyzing the reports from that accident.
- Supervisor Watts had inquired about a speed study on Winfall Road. Mr. Brown stated a speed study was conducted in 2008 and the current speed limit was 45 miles per hour. He indicated it was highly unlikely the speed limit would be reduced.

Pending Matters:

- Supervisor Hardie requested to meet with VDOT to review accident data on English Tavern Road. He indicated he was still reviewing the data provided by VDOT.
- Chairman Cline requested that VDOT continue to monitor the intersection of Route 460 and Doss Road., as there were recently two more accidents at the intersection. Mr. Brown stated a camera had been installed to monitor the area.
- Supervisor Shockley requested a speed study along Leesville Road from Leesville Estates to the City Limits, due to increased development along the corridor. Mr. Brown stated VDOT traffic engineering was working on a study.
- Supervisor Watts indicated there was a flooded ditch at 2890 Brookneal Highway that needed repair. Mr. Brown stated VDOT was working with the property owners in the area to make improvements.

Mr. Brown reminded the Board to consider any existing state-maintained unpaved roads they would like included in the upcoming six-year plan.

The Board did not address any new highway matters with Mr. Brown.

// CONSENT AGENDA

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors approves the following under the Consent Agenda:

a) Appropriations –

1. School Operating Fund, ARP PreSchool Handicapped, deleting \$3,469 from Employer Cost VRS Hybrid, \$261 from Employer Cost Group Life Insurance, and \$47 from Employer Cost Disability Ins, and appropriating \$3,777 to PreSch COVID Recovery Elem Aide-ARP; to cover benefits for SY22-23;
2. School Operating Fund, ESSER II – CARES 4, appropriating \$6,636 to Comp Facilitator – SEC CCOLA CARES 4, \$6,541.26 to FICA-CCOLA – CARES 4, \$10,211.78 to Employer Cost VRS Hybrid – CARES 4, \$768 to Employer Cost Group Life CARES 4, \$138 to Employer Cost Disability CARES 4, \$180,393.17 to Supplies Elem – CARES 4, \$58,899 to Supplies SEC – CARES 4, \$82.18 to Employer Cost FICA CARES 4, \$65,654.02 to Stipend – CARES 4, \$223,750.61 to Capital Outlay Replacement CARES 4, and \$150,000 to Hardware – CARES 4; and deleting \$30,361 from Comp Tea Elem CCOLA CARES 4, \$7,800 from Stipend Letrs Training CARES 4, \$6,758 from FICA – CCOLA CARES 4, \$596.70 from FICA Letrs Training CARES 4, \$21,355 from VRS-CCOLA CARES 4, \$4,815 from VRS-Hybrid-CCOLA CARES 4, \$12,573 from Health

Ins – COLA CARES 4, \$19,617 from Group Life Ins – COLA CARES 4, \$1,243 from Disability Ins – COLA CARES 4, \$153,000 from Contracted Services – CARES 4, \$179.94 from Stipends – CARES 4, \$69,899.98 from Comp Psychologist CARES 4, \$240.42 from Employer FICA – CARES 4, \$12,679.58 from Employer VRS – Hybrid CARES 4, \$5,893.30 from Employer Health Ins CARES 4, \$953.12 from Employer Group Life Ins CARES 4, \$172.24 from Employer Disability Ins CARES 4, \$84,000 from Recruitment Stipend – Custodian – CARES 4, \$112,764.89 from Contracted Services – CARES 4, \$213,095.86 from Supplies (PPE) – CARES 4, \$104,958 from Comp Instr Personnel CARES 4, \$9,958 from Employer FICA – CARES 4, \$19,177 from Employer VRS – CARES 4, \$11,723 from Employer Health Ins CARES 4, and \$2,161 from Employer Group Life Ins CARES 4; decreases estimated revenue line CARES & ARP Funds by \$202,901.01, de-appropriation based on Supt Memo #012-21 dated 01-22-21, 03-13-2020 – 09-30-2023;

3. School Operating Fund, Title II – A Improving Teacher Quality NCLB, appropriating \$11,219.08 to Stipends and \$12,352.32 to Employer Cost VRS; and deleting \$4,377 from Comp Elem Teachers, \$1,502.35 from Employer Cost FICA, \$14,476.69 from Employer Cost VRS Hybrid, \$25,397.16 from Employer Cost Health Ins, \$86.97 from Employer Cost Group Life Ins, \$181.46 from Employer Cost Disability Ins, \$1,230.24 from Purchased Services, and \$3,666.41 from Private Schools; decreases estimated revenue line Title II Teacher Quality (NCLB) by \$27,346.88, de-appropriation to true up federal Title II-A Improving Teacher Quality funds associated with carry-over and current award allocation funds. Funds will be used in FY23 to cover expenses associated with currently employed mentor coordinators, stipends, fringes, contract services, and supplies in accordance with the approved VDOE federal grant application;
4. School Operating Fund, Voc Ed C B Perkins Grant, appropriating \$1,169.72 to Purchased Services; and deleting \$2,736.19 from Other Cost – Miscellaneous and \$9,279 from Capital Outlay Replacement; decreases estimated revenue line C.B. Perkins Voc Ed Grant by \$10,845.47, de-appropriation to true up remaining funds;
5. School Operating Fund, Title I, appropriating \$48,023.40 to Comp Title I Teachers, \$3,017.29 to Comp Title I Supervisor, \$1,600 to Stipends, \$17,585.20 to Employer Cost VRS, \$11,942.41 to Employer Cost Health Ins, \$394.74 to Employer Cost Group Life Insurance, and \$143.36 to Employer Cost Disability Ins; and deleting \$1,500 from Comp Title I Sub Teachers, \$10,625.06 from Employer Cost FICA, \$6,136.10 from Employer Cost VRS – Hybrid, \$6,084 from Purchased Services, \$853.51 from Parental Involvement, \$4,818.24 from Travel, \$122,583.40 from Instructional Supplies, and \$4,038.54 from Parent Inv Supplies; decreases estimated revenue line Title I – NCLB by \$73,932.45, de-appropriation to match approved allocation;
6. School Operating Fund, Set Aside School Bus Driver Incentive Grant – CARES ESSER II Geer, deleting \$249.67 from Comp Bus Driver Stipend; decreases estimate revenue line CARES & ARP Funds by \$249.67, de-appropriation per Supt Memo #014-22, dated 01-14-22;
7. School Operating Fund, ESSER II – CARES 5, appropriating \$0.66 to Stipend Sec Summer SCH CARES 5, \$0.33 to FICA Summer SCH CARES 5, \$13,120.17 to Stipend (Planning) Sec CARES 5, \$1,008.01 to FICA (Planning) Sec CARES 5, \$0.25 to FICA Substitute Stipend CARES 5, \$0.85 to Comp Behavior Coach CARES 5, \$30,500 to Employer Cost VRS CARES 5, \$17,200 to Employer Cost Health Ins CARES 5, \$2,300 to Employer Cost Group Life CARES 5, \$26,806.45 to Emplr FICA (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$11,633 to Emplr Health Ins (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$12,852.55 to Comp Sec Aide – COVID CARES 5, \$901.80 to Emplr FICA (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$18,000 to VRS Hybrid – Reading Spec Sec CARES 5, \$55,000 to Health Ins – Reading Spec Sec CARES 5, \$2,000 to Group Life – Reading Spec Sec CARES 5, \$300 to Disability – Reading Spec Sec CARES 5, and \$146,752.01 to Contracted Services CARES 5; and deleting \$218,043.60 from Stipend Elem Summer Sch CARES 5, \$16,604.80 from FICA Summer SCH CARES 5, \$19,840 from Stipend (Planning) Elem CARES 5, \$990 from FICA (Planning) Elem CARES 5, \$0.36 from FICA Substitute Stipend CARES 5, \$26,880 from Stipend Nurses Summer SCH CARES 5, \$2,056.32 from FICA Nurses Summer SCH CARES 5, \$50,000.02 from Employer Cost FICA

CARES 5, \$24,490.03 from Employer Cost FICA CARES 5, \$30,000 from Emplr VRS (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$8,911 from Emplr VRS Hybrid (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$4,033 Emplr Group Life (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$684 from Emplr Disability (Aides-COVID) CARES 5, \$75,300 from FICA Reading Inv Spec CARES 5, \$0.15 from Contracted Services CARES 5, \$12,537.65 from Tuition Reimbursement CARES 5, \$982.94 from Supplies Elem CARES 5, \$0.01 from Stipend CARES 5, \$1.36 from Employer Cost FICA CARES 5, \$90,327.59 from Stipend Trans Summer Sch – CARES 5, \$6,831.54 from FICA – Summer Sch CARES 5, \$39,268.40 from Stipend Bus Driver Suppl CARES 5, \$1,458.63 from FICA Bus Driver Suppl – CARES 5, \$21,642.54 from Fuel Cost – Summer Sch CARES 5, \$153,605.29 from Supplies – CARES 5, and \$33,739.80 from Capital Outlay Replacement CARES 5; decreases estimated revenue line CARES & ARP Funds by \$499,852.95, de-appropriation per Supt Memo #110-21, dated 04-30-21;

8. School Operating Fund, Operation & Maintenance, appropriating \$27,005 to Vehicle Replacement; increases estimated revenue line Sale of Vehicles by \$27,005, appropriation for maintenance vehicle sold through GOV Deals;
9. School Operating Fund, Career & Technical Education State Equipment Grant, appropriating \$10,174.11 to VOC Equipment – CTE; increases estimated revenue lines VOC Equipment by \$5,741.64 and CTE Equip High Demand SCH Divisions by \$4,432.47, appropriation per Supt Memos #178-22 and #179-22;
10. School Operating Fund, Spec Ed Regular, appropriating \$26,203.07 to Comp Teacher Aides and \$28,985.56 to Stipends; and deleting \$8,119.90 from Employer Cost VRS Hybrid and \$3,336.56 from Employer Cost Health Insurance; increases estimated revenue line IDEA 611 Flow Thru – Spec Ed by \$43,732.17, appropriation per Supt Memo #157-22 dated 07-22-22;
11. School Operating Fund, Spec Ed Pre-School Handicapped Regular, appropriating \$2,287.13 to Comp Presch Tea-Reg Spec Ed; increases estimated revenue line Preschool Handicapped Special Ed by \$2,287.13, appropriation per Supt Memo #157-22 dated 07-22-22.
12. School Operating Fund, Title IV, Part A – Student Support & Academic Achievement, appropriating \$5,775.95 to Comp Psychologist, \$325.34 to Comp Stipends, \$1,149.59 to Employer FICA, and \$13,926.89 to Materials & Supplies; and deleting \$0.13 from Employer Cost VRS, \$2,981.39 from Employer Cost Hlth Ins, \$0.28 from Employer Cost Group Life Ins, and \$10,015.34 from Purchased Services; increases estimated revenue line Title IV, A SSAE Grant by \$8,180.63, appropriation per Supt Memo #158-22 dated 07-29-22.
13. School Operating Fund, GED ABE, appropriating \$6,400 to Supplemental Wages GED-ABE, \$0.35 to Employer Cost FICA GED-ABE, \$0.10 to Travel GED-ABE, \$0.50 to Instructional Supplies GED-ABE, and \$665.31 to Textbooks/Workbooks GED-ABE; and deleting \$0.30 from Employer Cost FICA C & I; increases estimated revenue line Basic Adult Education by \$7,065.96, appropriation for the 2022 award allocation to match the OMEGA system budget.
14. School Operating Fund, GED GAE, appropriating \$9,793 to Supplemental Wages – GAE; increases estimated revenue line G A E Basic Adult Ed by \$9,793, appropriation for 2022 award allocation.
15. School Operating Fund, Race to GED, appropriating \$1,535 to Stipends and \$117.43 to Employer Cost FICA; increases estimated revenue line Race to GED – Adult Prog by \$1,652.43, appropriation for 2022 award allocation to match the OMEGA system budget.
16. School Operating Fund, Title III, appropriating \$2,500 to Stipend – EL, \$191.25 to Employer Cost FICA – EL, and \$2,000 to Travel – EL – ELEM; and deleting \$1,122.13 from Purchased Services – EL ELEM and \$604.21 from Materials & Supplies – EL – ELEM; increases estimated revenue line Title III Part A – ESL by \$2,964.91, appropriation per Supt Memo #196-22 dated 09-02-22.

17. School Operating Fund, ARP Unfinished Learning, appropriating \$280 to Contr Serv CARES – ARP Unfinished Lrn; and deleting \$0.12 from Supplies Cares – ARP Unfinished Lrn; increases estimated revenue line Cares & ARP Funds by \$279.88, appropriation per Supt Memo #022-22 dated 01-28-22.
18. School Operating Fund, Mentor Teacher – CARES ESSER, appropriating \$13.10 to Supplies – Mentor Tchr ESSER Funds; increases estimated revenue line Cares & ARP Funds by \$13.10, appropriation per Supt Memo #037-22 dated 02-11-22.
19. School Operating Fund, VPSA (Va Public School Authority) & SEG (School Security Equipment), appropriating \$111,923 to VPSA Technology; increases estimated revenue line Technology VPSA by \$111,923, appropriation per Supt Memo #107-22 per email 10-20-22.
20. School Operating Fund, Technology, appropriating \$144,497 to VPSA Technology; increases estimated revenue line Technology VPSA by \$144,497, appropriation per email from Office of Support Services, Department of Education, dated 11-17-22.
21. General Fund, Commonwealth’s Attorney, appropriating \$400 to Dues & Assn. Memberships, \$665 to Convention & Education, and \$2,250 to Books & Subscriptions; decreases Forfeited Assets Fund, Fund Balance, by \$3,315.
22. General Fund, Public Safety, appropriating \$3,702.74 to Maint/Repair-Vehicles-Brookville-Timberlake; increases estimated revenue line Insurance Recoveries by \$3,702.74, insurance reimbursement for accident involving chief’s command vehicle.
23. General Fund, Public Assistance Services, appropriating \$4,233.50 to APS COVID; increases estimated revenue line Public Assistance/Admin-Federal by \$4,233.50, Campbell County received funds to support Adult Protective Services programs.
24. General Fund, Public Assistance Services, appropriating \$5,265 to ARPA APS; increases estimated revenue line Public Assistance/Admin-Federal by \$5,265, Campbell County received funds to support Adult Protective Services programs.
25. General Fund, Sheriff’s Department, appropriating \$1,000 to Crime Watch Prevention, \$4,800 to Convention and Education, and \$5,200 to CNT Supplies; increases estimated revenue line Gifts and Donations – Sheriff’s Office by \$11,000, funds received as donations for Crime Prevention fund, Education for a specialized school and CNT Supplies line.
26. General Fund, COPS De-Escalation Grant, appropriating \$75,834.65 to Law Enforcement Equipment, \$599 to Police Supplies, \$65,004 to Comp-Overtime, and \$17,896.94 to Other Expenses; increases estimated revenue line COPS Grant by \$159,334.59, Sheriff’s Office was awarded a COPS De-Escalation grant for \$159,334.59 to purchase a virtual training simulator, as well as training for the equipment. Grant period is 9/1/22 through 8/31/24.
27. General Fund, BJA Edward Byrne Computer Grant, appropriating \$12,128 to Law Enforcement Equipment; increases estimated revenue line E. Byrne Justice Grant by \$12,128, Sheriff’s Office was awarded a local Byrne/JAG Grant for \$12,128. This is to purchase three mobile data terminals. Grant period is 10/1/22 through 9/30/23.

b) County Attorney Invoice –

Approves payment to the County Attorney in the amount of \$13,719.19 for services provided from November 24, 2022 through December 20, 2022.

c) Recordation of Abstract of Votes –

The Registrar has provided an Abstract of Votes from the November 8, 2022 General Election. Section 24.2-675 of the Code of Virginia requires the Electoral Board to forward a

certified copy of each abstract of votes to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to be recorded in its minute book.

Member House of Representatives

Robert G. "Bob" Good – Republican	15393
Joshua M. Throneburg – Democratic	4616
Total Write-in votes	51

Mayor – Brookneal

Kenneth J. Jennings	205
Richard T. Adams Jr.	59
Total Write-in votes	4

Member Town Council – Altavista

Reginald C. Bennett	689
Wayne E. Mitchell	580
Tim H. George	552
Total Write-in votes	67

Member Town Council – Brookneal

Mark A. Wilkes	233
Barbara M. Laprade	224
Cindy B. Johnson	152
Carolyn M. Zimmer	62
Total Write-in votes	8

d) TLAC Organizational Cooperative Agreement –

Approves the amended Tri-County Lakes Administrative Commission (TLAC) organizational cooperative agreement.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// APPOINTMENTS

Several appointments were made at this meeting.

Campbell County Utilities and Service Authority

On motion of Supervisor Carwile, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors reappoints Charlie Droog to a two-year term until December 31, 2024 on the CCUSA Board for the Timberlake Election District.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors reappoints Dan Richardson to a two-year term until December 31, 2024 on the CCUSA Board for the Sunburst Election District.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

Library Board

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors appoints Susan Brandt, 520 Bedford Springs Road, Lynchburg, to fulfill the remainder of a four-year term until January 1, 2024 on the Library Board for the Sunburst Election District.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

Recreation Advisory Council

On motion of Supervisor Watts, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors reappoints Maurice Peerman to a three-year term until December 31, 2025 on the Recreation Advisory Council for the Brookneal Election District.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

Supervisor Watts would like the Board to review and update the Comprehensive Plan after the FY24 budget adoption.

// CLOSED MEETING

On motion of Chairman Cline, it was resolved the Board of Supervisors enters into a closed meeting at 8:51 p.m. to discuss a prospective existing business or industry expansion, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(5) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// The Campbell County Board of Supervisors entered into a closed meeting on this 3rd day of January, 2023 at 8:51 p.m. to discuss a prospective existing business or industry expansion, in accordance with §2.2-3711 (A)(5) of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

// On motion of Chairman Cline, it was resolved the meeting return to open session at 9:25 p.m.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

// On motion of Chairman Cline, the following resolution was adopted:

CERTIFICATE OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Campbell County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on the 3rd day of January, 2023 pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, §2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Campbell County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Campbell County Board of Supervisors.

The roll call vote was: Aye: Brown
Aye: Carwile
Aye: Cline

Aye: Hardie
Aye: Moore
Aye: Shockley
Aye: Watts
Nay: None
Absent During Meeting: None
Absent During Vote: None

// ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Supervisor Shockley, the meeting was adjourned at 9:28 p.m.

The vote was: Aye: Brown, Carwile, Cline, Hardie, Moore, Shockley, Watts
Nay: None
Absent: None

MATT W. CLINE, CHAIRMAN

Approved: _____